

On n -absorbing δ -primary idealsGülşen ULUCAK^{1,*}, Ünsal TEKİR², Suat KOÇ²¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Gebze Technical University, Kocaeli, Turkey²Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, İstanbul, Turkey

Received: 02.10.2017

Accepted/Published Online: 29.03.2018

Final Version: 24.07.2018

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with nonzero identity and n be a positive integer. In this paper, we study the concepts of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals, which are the generalizations of δ -primary ideals and weakly δ -primary ideals, respectively. We introduce the concepts of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals. Moreover, we give many properties of these new types of ideals and investigate the relations between these structures.

Key words: 2-absorbing ideal, δ -primary ideal, weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that all rings are commutative with nonzero identity. Let R be a commutative ring and I be an ideal of R . An ideal I is called proper if $I \neq R$. Recall that a proper ideal I is called a 2-absorbing (primary) ideal if $x_1x_2x_3 \in I$ for some $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$; then $x_1x_2 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in I$ or $x_1x_3 \in I$ ($x_1x_2 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in \sqrt{I}$ or $x_1x_3 \in \sqrt{I}$). These concepts were introduced by Badawi, Yetkin, and Tekir in [3] and [6]. Later, many authors studied on this issue. (see [11] and [1]). A proper ideal I of R is said to be weakly 2-absorbing (primary) ideal if $0 \neq x_1x_2x_3 \in I$ for some $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$; then $x_1x_2 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in I$ or $x_1x_3 \in I$ ($x_1x_2 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in \sqrt{I}$ or $x_1x_3 \in \sqrt{I}$). These notions were introduced as generalizations of weakly prime ideals and weakly primary ideals in [4] and [7], respectively. In the same manner, the concepts of n -absorbing (primary) ideals were introduced as other generalizations of prime (primary) ideals in [2]. Afterwards, Darani et al. studied the concept of weakly n -absorbing ideals in [10].

Let $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ be the set of all ideals of R and $\delta : \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ be a function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Then δ is called an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ if it satisfies the following two conditions: 1. $I \subseteq \delta(I)$, 2. If $I \subseteq J$, then $\delta(I) \subseteq \delta(J)$ for any ideals I, J of R . In [8], Zhao introduced a new concept called δ -primary ideals in commutative rings. This concept is considered to unify prime and primary ideals. Many results of prime and primary ideals are extended to these structures. Recall that a proper ideal I is called a δ -primary ideal if $xy \in I$ for some $x, y \in R$ implies that $x \in I$ or $y \in \delta(I)$. Then Zhao and Fahid introduced the concept of 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal, which is a generalization of δ -primary ideal, that is, the concept of δ -primary ideal has been extended to 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal [9]. Recall that a proper ideal I is called a 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal if $xyz \in I$ for some $x, y, z \in R$ implies that $xy \in I$ or $yz \in \delta(I)$ or $xz \in \delta(I)$. Afterwards, Badawi and Fahid

*Correspondence: gulsenuluca@gtu.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05C38, 15A15; Secondary 05A15, 15A18

studied weakly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideals of commutative rings in [5]. Firstly, they introduced the concept of a weakly δ -primary ideal and then gave the concept of a weakly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal. Additionally, they investigated many properties of these concepts and studied the relations between a δ -primary ideal and a 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal. A proper ideal I is said to be a weakly δ -primary ideal if $0 \neq xy \in I$ for some $x, y \in R$ implies that $x \in I$ or $y \in \delta(I)$. A proper ideal I is called a weakly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal if $0 \neq xyz \in I$ for some $x, y, z \in R$ implies $xy \in I$ or $yz \in \delta(I)$ or $xz \in \delta(I)$.

In this paper, our aim is to introduce the concepts of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals. These types are two generalizations of the concepts of n -absorbing (primary) ideals and weakly n -absorbing (primary) ideals, respectively. We say a proper ideal I of R is (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal if whenever $(0 \neq x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I) \implies x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ implies $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, where $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ denotes the product of $x_1 \dots x_{k-1} x_{k+1} \dots x_{n+1}$.

In this paper, we give many specific examples and results of these concepts. Let δ and γ be expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. One of the significant results in this paper is that if $\delta(I) \subseteq \gamma(I)$ and I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then I is an (weakly) n -absorbing γ -primary ideal. Then we show that every (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal is an (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal for positive integers m, n with $m > n$. It is given that if I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal with $J \subseteq K \subseteq I$ and $\delta(I) = \delta(J)$ for some ideals J, K of R , then K is (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal for positive integers $m > n$. We also show that if I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R but is not an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then $I^{n+1} = (0)$. Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and δ_S be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_S)$ such that $\delta_S(I_S) = (\delta(I))_S$, where \mathcal{R}_S is the quotient ring of R . Let $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$, where $Z(R)$ is the set of all zero divisor elements of R . It is also given that if I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R with $I \cap S = \emptyset$, then I_S is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ_S -primary ideal of \mathcal{R}_S .

Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$, where R_i is a commutative ring with nonzero identity and δ_i be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let δ_\times be a function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$, which is defined by $\delta_\times(I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n) = \delta_1(I_1) \times \delta_2(I_2) \times \dots \times \delta_n(I_n)$ for each ideal I_i of R_i . Then δ_\times is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Finally, from Theorem 10 to Theorem 13, we characterize all (weakly) n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideals of direct product of rings.

2. n -Absorbing δ -primary and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals

Throughout this section, R denotes a commutative ring with nonzero identity, unless otherwise stated.

Definition 1 Let $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ be the set of all ideals of R and $\delta : \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ be a function of ideals of R . Recall from [8], δ is called an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ if it satisfies the following two conditions: (1) $I \subseteq \delta(I)$, (2) If $I \subseteq J$, then $\delta(I) \subseteq \delta(J)$ for any ideals I, J of R .

Note that there are explanatory examples of expansion functions included in [8, 1.2 Example] and [5, Example 1].

Definition 2 A proper ideal I of a commutative ring R is called an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal if whenever $(0 \neq x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I) \implies x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$, then $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, where $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ denotes the product of $x_1 \dots x_{k-1} x_{k+1} \dots x_{n+1}$.

It is clear that any n -absorbing δ -primary ideal is weakly n -absorbing δ -primary. The following example not only shows that the converse is not true but also gives many illustration of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals.

Example 1 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$.

(i) If $\delta_i(I) = I$, i.e. δ_i is an identity function, then n -absorbing ideals are equivalent n -absorbing δ_i -primary ideals.

(ii) If $\delta_r(I) = \sqrt{I}$, then I is an n -absorbing δ_r -primary ideal iff I is an n -absorbing primary ideal.

(iii) Every (weakly) 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal.

(iv) Every n -absorbing ideal is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, but the converse is not necessarily true.

Consider the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} and the expansion function δ_r of \mathbb{Z} . Let $I = (p_1^2 p_2^2 p_3^3 \dots p_n^n)$, where p_i 's are distinct prime numbers. Then I is an n -absorbing δ_r -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z} but not an n -absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

(v) Now consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_m , where $m = p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1}$ for some distinct prime numbers p_1, \dots, p_{n+1} . Then $I = (0)$, the zero ideal, is clearly a weakly n -absorbing δ_r -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z}_m . Since $p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1} \in I$, $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n \notin I$ and for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, none of the product of $p_1 \dots \widehat{p}_k \dots p_{n+1}$ is in $\delta_r(I) = I$. Thus I is not an n -absorbing δ_r -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z}_m .

An n -absorbing primary ideal may or may not be an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal as in Example 1 (i). Additionally, an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal is not necessarily an n -absorbing primary ideal. Consider the ring of formal power series $R = F[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1}]]$, where F is a field. Let us define $\delta : \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ as $\delta(I) = I + M$ for each ideal I of R , where M is the unique maximal ideal $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1})$. Then δ is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Take an ideal $I = (X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n+1})$. Then $\sqrt{I} = I$ and I is not an n -absorbing ideal and so it is not an n -absorbing primary ideal. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n+1} \in R$ such that $p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1} \in I$. Assume that for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $p_1 \dots \widehat{p}_k \dots p_{n+1} \notin \delta(I) = M$. Then $p_1 \dots \widehat{p}_k \dots p_{n+1}$ is a unit of R . Since $p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1} = (p_1 \dots \widehat{p}_k \dots p_{n+1}) p_k \in I$, we have $p_k \in I$ and so $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n \in I$. Thus I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .

Theorem 1 (i) Let δ and γ be expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ with $\delta(I) \subseteq \gamma(I)$. If I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , then I is an (weakly) n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of R .

(ii) Let γ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I be an n -absorbing primary ideal of R . If $\gamma(I)$ is a radical ideal, i.e. $\sqrt{\gamma(I)} = \gamma(I)$, then I is an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of R .

Proof (i) It is explicit.

(ii) It can be easily seen that $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\gamma(I)} = \gamma(I)$. Then, by (i), I is an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of R if I is an n -absorbing primary ideal of R . □

Proposition 1 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. If $\delta(I)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R , then I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .

Proof Let $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ and $x_1 \dots x_n \notin I$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Now we have two cases. In the first case, assume that $x_1 \dots x_n \notin \delta(I)$. Since $\delta(I)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal and $(x_1 x_2) x_3 \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, we get $(x_1 x_2) \dots \widehat{x}_k \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. In the second case, assume that $x_1 \dots x_n \in \delta(I)$. This implies that $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} \in \delta(I)$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x}_k \dots x_n \in \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Thus, we have $x_1 \dots \widehat{x}_k \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x}_k \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, which completes the proof. □

Theorem 2 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Every (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R is an (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R for positive integers m, n with $m > n$.*

Proof Let I be an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . We will show that I is an $(n+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal. Let $x_1x_2\dots x_{n+2} \in I$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+2} \in R$. Now take $x_1x_2 = x'$. Then $x'\dots x_{n+2} \in I$ implies $x'\dots x_{n+1} \in I$ or $x'\dots \widehat{x_k}\dots x_{n+2}$ is in $\delta(I)$ for $x_k = x'$ or some $3 \leq k \leq n+1$. Hence, I is an m -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R for $m > n$. Similarly, it can be verified that a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal is a weakly m -absorbing δ -primary ideal. \square

Definition 3 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. It satisfies the finite intersection property if $\delta(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n) = \delta(I_1) \cap \dots \cap \delta(I_n)$ for some ideals I_1, \dots, I_n of R .*

Note that the radical operation on ideals of a commutative ring is an example of an expansion function satisfying the finite intersection property.

Proposition 2 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ satisfying the finite intersection property and I_1, \dots, I_m be proper ideals of R . If I_j is an n_j -absorbing δ -primary ideal and $P = \delta(I_j)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary with $n_1 + \dots + n_m = n$.*

Proof Assume that $x_1\dots x_{n+1} \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$ and $x_1\dots x_n \notin I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Then $x_1\dots x_n \notin I_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m$. Since I_k is an n_k -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then I_k is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal by Theorem 2 and so $x_1\dots \widehat{x_t}\dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I_k) = P$ for some $1 \leq t \leq n$. Also note that $\delta(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m) = \delta(I_1) \cap \dots \cap \delta(I_m) = P$ since $\delta(I_j) = P$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and δ satisfies the finite intersection property. Thus $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$ is n -absorbing δ -primary. \square

Theorem 3 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I, J , and K be proper ideals of R with $J \subseteq K \subseteq I$ and $\delta(I) = \delta(J)$. If I is (weakly) an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then K is an (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal for positive integers $m > n$.*

Proof We will show that if I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , K is an $(n+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . Assume that $n = 1$. Let $x_1x_2x_3 \in K$ and $x_1x_2 \notin K$. In the first case, suppose that $x_1x_2 \in I$. Then $x_1 \in I$ or $x_2 \in \delta(I)$. Thus $x_1x_3 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in \delta(K)$ since $\delta(I) = \delta(J) \subseteq \delta(K)$. This implies that $x_1x_3 \in \delta(K)$ or $x_2x_3 \in \delta(K)$. In the second case, let $x_1x_2 \notin I$. Then $x_3 \in \delta(I)$ and hence $x_1x_3 \in \delta(K)$ and $x_2x_3 \in \delta(K)$. Consequently, K is a 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . Assume that if I is a k -absorbing δ -primary ideal, K is a $(k+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal for some positive integer k . Now we show that K is a $(k+2)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal when I is a $(k+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal for some positive integer k . Let I be a $(k+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . Let $x_1\dots x_{k+3} \in K$ and $x_1\dots x_{k+2} \notin K$. In the first case, let $x_1\dots x_{k+2} \in I$. Then $x_1\dots x_{k+1} \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq t \leq k+1$ such that $x_1\dots \widehat{x_t}\dots x_{k+2}$ is in $\delta(I)$. This yields that $x_1\dots \widehat{x_{k+2}}\dots x_{k+3}$ is in $\delta(K)$ or $x_1\dots \widehat{x_t}\dots x_{k+3}$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k+1$. In the second case, let $x_1\dots x_{k+2} \notin I$. Since I is a $(k+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal, we get $x_1\dots \widehat{x_t}\dots x_{k+3}$ is in $\delta(I) = \delta(K)$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k+2$. Consequently, K is a $(k+2)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal. Similarly, it can be verified for a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal. \square

Corollary 1 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I, J be proper ideals of R with $J \subseteq I$ and $\delta(I) = \delta(J)$. Then J is an (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal in the case I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal for some positive integers $m > n$.

Definition 4 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$, I be a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , and $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. We say that (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) is a δ - $(n+1)$ -tuple-zero of I if $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} = 0$, $x_1 \dots x_n \notin I$ and for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ is not in $\delta(I)$.

Note that if I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R that is not an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then I has a δ - $(n+1)$ -tuple-zero (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$.

Theorem 4 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I be a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . Assume that (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) is a δ - $(n+1)$ -tuple-zero of I for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Then

$$x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} I^m = (0)$$

for each $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n+1$, $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Proof Let $m = 1$. Assume that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots x_{n+1} I \neq (0)$. Then $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots x_{n+1} y \neq 0$ for some $y \in I$. This yields that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots x_{n+1} (x_{i_1} + y) \neq 0$. Since (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) is a δ - $(n+1)$ -tuple-zero and I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , we conclude that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{x_j} \dots x_{n+1} (x_{i_1} + y) \in \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ and $j \neq i_1$. Thus $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_j} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, yielding a contradiction. Therefore, it must be $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots x_{n+1} I = (0)$.

Assume that the claim holds for all positive integers less than $m > 1$. Let $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} I^m \neq (0)$. Then there are elements y_1, \dots, y_m of I such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} y_1 \dots y_m \neq 0$. By hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} (x_{i_1} + y_1)(x_{i_2} + y_2) \dots (x_{i_m} + y_m) \\ & = x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} y_1 \dots y_m \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} (x_{i_1} + y_1) \dots (x_{i_t} + y_t) \dots (x_{i_m} + y_m) \in \delta(I)$$

for some $1 \leq t \leq m$. Since y_1, \dots, y_m of I , we get $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_t}} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, it must be

$$x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} I^m = (0).$$

□

In the following theorem, Nakayama's lemma is considered for weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals.

Theorem 5 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I be a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R but it is not an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal. Then $I^{n+1} = (0)$.

Proof By our assumption, I has a δ - $(n + 1)$ -tuple-zero (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Let $0 \neq y_1 \dots y_{n+1}$ for some $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in I$. By Theorem 4, we have $(x_1 + y_1) \dots (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}) = y_1 \dots y_{n+1} \neq 0$ and $(x_1 + y_1) \dots (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}) \in I$. Thus we conclude that $(x_1 + y_1) \dots (x_n + y_n) \in I$ or $(x_1 + y_1) \dots (\widehat{x_k + y_k}) \dots (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}) \in \delta(I)$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, we have $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, a contradiction. Consequently, $I^{n+1} = (0)$. \square

We give the next theorem as a result of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I be a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R but it is not an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal. Thus,*

1. $Rad(I) = Nil(R)$.
2. If M is a finitely generated R -module with $IM = M$, then $M = (0)$.

Proof The proof is clear from Theorem 5. \square

In Theorem 5, the condition $I^{n+1} = (0)$ does not assure that I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal. We give an example for this case:

Example 2 *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n+2}}$ for some prime number p and nonnegative integer n . Consider the expansion function δ_i , which is defined in Example 1. Then $I = (p^{n+1})$ is a proper ideal of R and $I^{n+1} = (0)$, but I is not weakly n -absorbing δ -primary since $p^{n+1} \in I$ and $p^n \notin \delta_i(I)$.*

Corollary 2 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$.*

(i) *If I is a proper ideal of R with $\delta(\delta(I)) = \delta(I)$, then $\delta(I)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R if and only if $\delta(I)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .*

(ii) *Suppose that $\delta(0)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R with $\delta(\delta(0)) = \delta(0)$. Then $\delta(0)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .*

Proof (i) The necessary part is clear. For the sufficient part, assume that $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ and $x_1 \dots x_n \notin \delta(I)$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Since $\delta(I)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then we have $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(\delta(I)) = \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence $\delta(I)$ is an n -absorbing ideal.

(ii) Follows similar to (i). \square

Definition 5 *Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and δ, γ expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})$, respectively. Then f is called a $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism if $\delta(f^{-1}(J)) = f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$ for all ideals J of S .*

If we consider that γ_r is a radical operation on S and δ_r is a radical operation on R , then any homomorphism from R to S is an example of $\delta_r\gamma_r$ -homomorphism. Also note that if f is a $\delta\gamma$ -epimorphism and I is an ideal of R containing $\ker(f)$, then $\gamma(f(I)) = f(\delta(I))$.

Theorem 7 *Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism, where δ is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and γ is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})$. Then the following are satisfied:*

- (i) *If J is an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of S , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .*

(ii) Suppose that f is an epimorphism and I is a proper ideal of R with $\ker(f) \subseteq I$. Then I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if and only if $f(I)$ is an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of S .

Proof (i) Let $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(J)$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Then $f(x_1 \dots x_{n+1}) = f(x_1) \dots f(x_{n+1}) \in J$. By our assumption, we have $f(x_1) \dots f(x_n) \in J$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $f(x_1) \dots \widehat{f(x_k)} \dots f(x_{n+1})$ is in $\gamma(J)$. Thus $x_1 \dots x_n \in f^{-1}(J)$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ is in $f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$. Since $\delta(f^{-1}(J)) = f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$, we get either $x_1 \dots x_n \in f^{-1}(J)$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ is in $\delta(f^{-1}(J))$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(J)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .

(ii) Let $f(I)$ be an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of S . Since $I = f^{-1}(f(I))$, we conclude that I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R by (i). Assume that I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R and $y_1 y_2 \dots y_{n+1} \in f(I)$ for some $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1} \in S$. Since f is epimorphism, we have $f(x_i) = y_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$. This implies that $f(x_1) f(x_2) \dots f(x_{n+1}) \in f(I)$ and so $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ since $\ker(f) \subseteq I$. As I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, we conclude either $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$. Then we have $y_1 \dots y_n \in f(I)$ or $y_1 \dots \widehat{y_k} \dots y_{n+1} \in \gamma(f(I))$, which completes the proof. \square

Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I an ideal of R . Then the function $\delta_q : R/I \rightarrow R/I$, defined by $\delta_q(J/I) = \delta(J)/I$ for all ideals $I \subseteq J$, becomes an expansion function of R/I .

Theorem 8 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I, J be proper ideals of R with $I \subseteq J$. Then the following hold:

(i) J is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if and only if J/I is an n -absorbing δ_q -primary ideal of R/I .

(ii) If J is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , then J/I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_q -primary ideal of R/I .

(iii) Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and δ_S an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_S)$ such that $\delta_S(I_S) = (\delta(I))_S$. If I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R with $I \cap S = \emptyset$, then I_S is an n -absorbing δ_S -primary ideal of R_S . Moreover, if I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , then I_S is a weakly n -absorbing δ_S -primary ideal of R_S .

Proof (i) It is a result of Theorem 7.

(ii) Let $0_{R/I} \neq \overline{x_1 \dots x_{n+1}} \in J/I$ for some $\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_{n+1}} \in R/I$. Then $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in R - I$ and also $0 \neq x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in J$. Since J is weakly n -absorbing δ -primary, we conclude either $x_1 \dots x_n \in J$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ is in $\delta(J)$. Hence $\overline{x_1} \dots \overline{x_n} \in J/I$ or $\overline{x_1} \dots \widehat{\overline{x_k}} \dots \overline{x_n}$ is in $\delta(J)/I = \delta_q(J/I)$, that is, J/I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_q -primary ideal of R/I .

(iii) Let $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \dots \frac{x_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}} \in I_S$ and $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \dots \frac{x_n}{s_n} \notin I_S$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ and $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \in S$. Then there exists $a \in S$ such that $ax_1 \dots x_{n+1} = (ax_1) \dots x_{n+1} \in I$. Since I is an n -absorbing δ -primary, we obtain either $(ax_1) \dots x_n \in I$ or $(ax_1) \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ for some $x_k = ax_1$ or $2 \leq k \leq n$. If $(ax_1) \dots x_n \in I$, then $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \dots \frac{x_n}{s_n} = \frac{ax_1 \dots x_n}{as_1 \dots s_n} \in I_S$. Otherwise, we would have $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \dots \widehat{\frac{x_k}{s_k}} \dots \frac{x_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}} = \frac{(ax_1) \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}}{(as_1) \dots s_k \dots s_{n+1}} \in (\delta(I))_S = \delta_S(I_S)$ for some k . Therefore, I_S is n -absorbing δ_S -primary. In a similar way, it is easily shown that I_S is weakly n -absorbing δ_S -primary. \square

In Theorem 8, the converse of (ii) holds if I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . The following

theorem explains this situation.

Theorem 9 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$, and J be a proper ideal of R containing a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal I of R . Then J/I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_q -primary ideal of R/I if and only if J is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .*

Proof \Leftarrow : It is clear from Theorem 8 (ii).

\Rightarrow : It can be easily seen since I is weakly n -absorbing δ -primary. □

3. n -Absorbing δ -primary and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals in direct product of rings

Theorem 10 *Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ be a decomposable ring and*

$$I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_m$$

be a proper ideal of R for $1 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \leq m$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) *I is an n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary ideal of R .*
- (ii) *I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary ideal of R .*
- (iii) *$I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_m$ is an n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times R_m$.*

Proof (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) : Since $I^{n+1} \neq (0_R)$, then I is an n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary of R by Theorem 5.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let I be an n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary ideal of R .

Let $(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n+1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n+1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_m^{(n+1)}) \in I'$ for every $x_i^{(j)} \in R_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$.

Note that

$(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n+1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n+1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_m^{(n+1)}) \in I$.

Then $(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n)}, \dots, x_m^{(n)}) \in I$

or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that

$(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(k)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, \widehat{x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(k)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(k)}}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(k)}, \dots, x_m^{(k)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n+1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n+1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_m^{(n+1)}) \in \delta_{\times}(I)$.

Thus $(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n)}, \dots, x_m^{(n)}) \in I'$

or for some $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(k)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(k)}, \dots, \widehat{x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(k)}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(k)}, \dots, x_m^{(k)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n+1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n+1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_m^{(n+1)})$ is in $\delta_\times(I')$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) : Assume that I' is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R' . In a similar way, it can be seen that I is n -absorbing δ_\times -primary. □

Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Then we say that δ satisfies (*) property if $\delta(I) = R$ implies $I = R$, i.e. $\delta(I) \neq R$ for all proper ideals I of R . Note that δ_r and δ_i , defined in Example 1, are examples of expansion functions satisfying (*) property. Moreover, if $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ is a decomposable ring and δ_i 's are expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ with (*) property, then δ_\times is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ satisfying (*) property.

Theorem 11 *Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ be a decomposable ring and $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ be an ideal of R such that $I_1 \neq 0$ and $\delta_i(I_i) \neq R_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Suppose that for some $2 \leq k \leq n$, I_k is a nonzero ideal of R_k and δ_i 's are expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ satisfying (*) property for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .
- (ii) $I_n = R_n$ and $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n-1}$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{n-1}$ or I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- (iii) $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Let $I_n = R_n$. Then $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n-1}$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{n-1}$ by Theorem 10. Assume that $I_i \neq R_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. To prove that I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i , take $a_i b_i \in I_i$ for some $a_i, b_i \in R_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0_R \neq & (a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{i-1}}, a_i, 1_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & (1_{R_1}, 0, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 0_{R_{i-1}}, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, 0_{R_{i+1}}, 1_{R_{i+2}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{i-1}}, b_i, 1_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & = (a_1, 0_{R_2}, \dots, 0_{R_{i-1}}, a_i b_i, 0_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 0_{R_n}) \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Since δ_i satisfies (*) property, $\delta_i(I_i) \neq R_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and so we conclude either

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{i-1}}, a_i, 1_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 0, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & (1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 0_{R_{i-1}}, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, 0_{R_{i+1}}, 1_{R_{i+2}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n}) \in I \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 0, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 0_{R_{i-1}}, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, 0_{R_{i+1}}, 1_{R_{i+2}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{i-1}}, b_i, 1_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \in \delta(I). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a_i \in I_i$ or $b_i \in \delta_i(I_i)$. Therefore, I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i . Furthermore, it can be similarly shown that I_1 is a δ_1 -primary ideal since $I_k \neq 0$ for some $2 \leq k \leq n$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let $I_n = R_n$ and $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n-1}$ be an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{n-1}$. Then $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R by Theorem 10. Assume that I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}) \dots (x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_n^{(n+1)}) \in I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ for every $x_i^{(j)} \in R_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$. At least one of the $x_i^{(j)}$ is in I_i or $\delta_i(I_i)$ for any

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$. Thus we can see that $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) : is clear. □

Theorem 12 Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ be a decomposable ring and $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ be an ideal of R such that $I_1 \neq 0$ and $\delta_i(I_i) \neq R_i$ for each $2 \leq i \leq n$. Assume that δ_i 's are expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ satisfying (*) property for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R that is not an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(ii) I_1 is a weakly δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 that is not a δ_1 -primary ideal and $I_i = (0)$ is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Suppose that $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R that is not n -absorbing δ_\times -primary. Let $I_i \neq (0)$ for some $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Then $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R by Theorem 11, yielding a contradiction. It must be $I_i = (0)$ for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. It is clear that $I_i = (0)$ is a δ_i -primary ideal. Now we assume that $0 \neq xy \in I_1$ for some $x, y \in R_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &0_R \neq (x, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ &(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n})(y, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ &= (xy, 0_{R_2}, \dots, 0_{R_n}) \in I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $x \in I_1$ or $y \in \delta_1(I_1)$ since $I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$ is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R . Consequently, I_1 is weakly δ_1 -primary. If I_1 is a δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 , then I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence, it is easily seen that I is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R , a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) : Assume that I_1 is a weakly δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 that is not a δ_1 -primary ideal and $I_i = (0)$ is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Let $0_R \neq (x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}) \dots (x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_n^{(n+1)}) \in I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$ for every $x_i^{(j)} \in R_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$. Then at least one of the $x_1^{(j)}$ is in I_1 or in $\delta_1(I_1)$ and for any $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$, at least one of the $x_i^{(j)} = 0$ or is in $\delta_i(0)$. Thus we have that $I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$ is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R . Since I_1 is not a δ_1 -primary ideal, there are $x, y \in R_1$ with $xy = 0$ but $x \notin I_1$ and $y \notin \delta_1(I_1)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(x, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ &(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n})(y, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ &= (0_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, \dots, 0_{R_n}). \text{ However, products of } n \text{ elements of} \\ &(x, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n}), (1_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}), (1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}), \\ &(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}), \dots, (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n}), (y, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \text{ are neither in } I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0 \text{ nor in} \\ &\delta_\times(I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0). \text{ Thus } I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0 \text{ is not an } n\text{-absorbing } \delta_\times\text{-primary ideal of } R. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 13 Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{n+1}$ be a decomposable ring and $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ be a nonzero proper ideal of R such that $\delta_i(I_i) \neq R_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$. Assume that δ_i 's are expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ satisfying (*) property for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(ii) I is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(iii) $I_k = R_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n+1$ and I_j is a δ_j -primary ideal of R_j for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} - \{k\}$ or $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$, where $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times R_{n+1}$ for some $1 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \leq n+1$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Take $(0, \dots, 0) \neq (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in I$. Then

$(0, \dots, 0) \neq (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = (a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_n}, a_{n+1}) \in I$. Since I is weakly n -absorbing δ -primary, then

$(a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, a_n, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that

$(a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, \widehat{a_k}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_n}, a_{n+1})$ is in $\delta(I)$. Then $I_i = R_i$ or $\delta_j(I_j) = R_j$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$. Since δ_j satisfies (*) property, we get $I_i = R_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Thus $I^{n+1} \neq 0_R$. By Theorem 5, I is n -absorbing δ_\times -primary.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let I be an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal. Then $I_i = R_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ by the previous proof. Assume that $I = I_1 \times \dots \times R_i \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ and I_j is a proper ideal of R_j for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{i\}$. Now we show that I_j is a δ_j -primary ideal of R_j . Let $x_j y_j \in I_j$ for $x_j, y_j \in R_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{j-1}}, x_j, 1_{R_{j+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}})(0, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_j}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \\ & (1_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_j}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 0_{R_{j-1}}, 1_{R_j}, 1_{R_{j+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_j}, 0_{R_{j+1}}, 1_{R_{j+2}}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_n}, 0_{R_{n+1}})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{j-1}}, y_j, 1_{R_{j+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

$= (0, \dots, 0, 1_{R_i}, 0, \dots, 0, x_j y_j, 0, \dots, 0) \in I$ for some $j \neq i$. Since I is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal, we have either $x_j \in I_j$ or $y_j \in \delta_j(I_j)$. Therefore, I_j is a δ_j -primary ideal of R_j .

Let $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ for some $1 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \leq n+1$. Then $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times R_{n+1}$ by Theorem 10.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) : It is easily seen that I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R . □

References

- [1] Anderson DD, Bataineh M. Generalizations of prime ideals. Commun Algebra 2008; 36: 686-696.
- [2] Anderson DF, Badawi A. On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings. Commun Algebra 2011; 39: 1646-1672.
- [3] Badawi A. On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings. Bull Austral Math Soc 2007; 3: 417-429.
- [4] Badawi A, Darani AY. On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings. Houston J Math 2013; 39: 441-452.
- [5] Badawi A, Fahid B. On weakly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideals of commutative rings. Georgian Math J 2017 (in press).
- [6] Badawi A, Tekir U, Yetkin E. On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings. Bull Korean Math Soc 2014; 1: 1163-1173.
- [7] Badawi A, Tekir U, Yetkin E. On weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings. J Korean Math Soc 2015; 52: 97-111.
- [8] Dongsheng Z. δ -primary ideals of commutative rings. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal 2001; 41: 17-22.

- [9] Fahid B, Dongsheng Z. 2-Absorbing δ -primary ideals in commutative rings. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal* 2017; 57: 193-198.
- [10] Mostafanasab H, Sohelnia F. Darani AY. On weakly n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings, arxiv.
- [11] Payrovi S, Babaei S. On 2-absorbing submodules. In *Algebra Colloquium* 2012; 19: 913-920.