

## Effects of Antenatal Education Program on Postpartum Functional Status and Depression

### Antenatal Eğitimin Doğum Sonrası Fonksiyonel Statü ve Depresyona Etkisi

Özlem Can Gürkan, Zübeyde Ekşi

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Nursing, Division of Nursing, Marmara University School of Health Sciences, İstanbul, Turkey

Cite this article as: Can Gürkan Ö, Ekşi Z. Effects of Antenatal Education Program on Postpartum Functional Status and Depression. Clin Exp Health Sci 2017; 7: 133-8.

#### Abstract

**Objective:** The aim of the study was to determine the effects of antenatal education on the functional status and depression in women who were in the 6th postpartum week and in the 6th postpartum month.

**Methods:** The nonrandomized, post-test–control group design was used. The study was conducted on pregnant women who applied to the prenatal center of a hospital in İstanbul. The participants in the education group attended the antenatal education program, whereas those in the control group received routine antenatal care. The study was completed with 65 participants (education group=31 and control group=34). Data collection was performed using the Demographic Questionnaire, the 6th Week Assessment Form, the Inventory of Functional Status after Childbirth (IFSAC), and the Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS).

**Results:** The results showed that there was no significant difference in terms of both the EPDS scores and IFSAC scores between the groups at both the 6<sup>th</sup> week and the 6<sup>th</sup> month ( $p>0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** It was found that antenatal training may not be effective in decreasing postpartum depression and in improving the postpartum functional status.

**Keywords:** Antenatal education, postpartum depression, postpartum functional status, nursing

#### Öz

**Amaç:** Araştırmanın amacı, antenatal eğitimin, kadınların doğum sonrası 6. hafta ve 6.aydaki fonksiyonel durumlarına ve depresyon durumlarına etkisini değerlendirmektir.

**Yöntemler:** Araştırma, non-randomize, son test kontrol gruplu tasarım olarak uygulandı. Çalışmanın katılımcıları, İstanbul ilindeki bir hastanenin gebe izlem polikliniğine başvuran gebe kadınlardı. Eğitim grubundaki katılımcılar, antenatal eğitim programına katıldı, kontrol grubundaki katılımcılar ise rutin antenatal bakım aldı. Çalışma 65 katılımcı (eğitim grubu =31 ve kontrol grubu=34) ile tamamlandı. Veriler demografik soru formu, 6. hafta değerlendirme formu, Doğum Sonrası Fonksiyonel Durum Envanteri (DS-FDE) ve Edinburgh Postpartum Depresyon Ölçeği (EPDÖ) kullanılarak elde edildi.

**Bulgular:** Katılımcıların doğum sonrası fonksiyonel durum skorlarının ve doğum sonrası depresyon puanlarının, hem 6. haftada hem de 6. ayda gruplar arasında benzerlik gösterdiği ve istatistiksel olarak anlamlı bir fark olmadığı belirlendi ( $p>0,05$ ).

**Sonuç:** Antenatal eğitimler doğum sonrası fonksiyonel durumu artırmada ve doğum sonu depresyonu azaltmada etkili olmayabilir.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Antenatal eğitim, doğum sonu depresyon, doğum sonrası fonksiyonel durum, hemşirelik

#### INTRODUCTION

Antenatal care is a broad concept that covers the period between preconception and birth. The purpose of antenatal care is to identify maternal risks and make appropriate interventions toward risks, to increase the well-being of the mother and infant, and to ensure the birth of a healthy infant. Another purpose of antenatal care is to provide antenatal education on pregnancy, birth, and parenthood for the woman and her family.

Antenatal education is usually provided by midwives, nurses, and gynecologists (1-4). Antenatal education deals with physical, psychological, social, and cultural dimensions and prepares the woman and her family for childbirth and the postnatal period. Antenatal education involves topics such as pregnancy, labor and delivery, puerperium and its features, breastfeeding, baby care, and transition to parenthood (2, 5). In the current literature, it is stated that antenatal education positively affects breastfeeding attitudes of women (6-8), enhances quality of life (1, 9), provides greater knowledge on the hazards of smoking (10), and has a positive influence on the adaptation to new situations, on birth, and on the perception of labor (7).

Several studies in Turkey have assessed the influence of antenatal education. In the study by Altıntuğ and Ege (11), it was reported that the trainings given during the final period of pregnancy and immediately following the delivery prepare mothers for discharge and enhance their postpartum quality of life (11). Coşar and Demirci (12), it was found that pregnancy preparation training based on

the Lamaze philosophy positively influences women's adaptation process to childbirth and perceptions of birth. Furthermore, to our knowledge, small numbers of studies have evaluated the impact of antenatal education on the postpartum functional status (13) and postpartum depression (PPD) (14-17). In Turkey, no study has directly investigated the effect of antenatal education on PPD.

The aim of the present study was to assess the effects of an antenatal education program on the functional status and depression in women who were in the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week and the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month.

## METHODS

### Design

The study had a nonrandomized, post-test-control group design and was conducted on pregnant women who applied to the prenatal center of a hospital in Istanbul during March 2012 to January 2014.

### Hypothesis

Pregnant women receiving antenatal education will have better primary outcomes at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week and the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month than those not receiving antenatal education.

Primary outcomes:

1. The postpartum functional status was evaluated by the Inventory of Functional Status after Childbirth (IFSAC).

The postpartum functional status is associated with physical and social adaptation. Fawcett et al. (18) described the postpartum functional status as returning to one's prenatal functional status and regaining the ability to successfully perform domestic chores, social activities, newborn care responsibilities, self-care activities, and professional activities (18).

2. PPD was evaluated by the Edinburg Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS).

According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV), PPD is defined as the continuance of at least 5 symptoms, which may include insomnia/hypersomnia, psychomotor delay, tiredness, sensing of unhappiness or delinquency, reduced condensation, appetite changes, and suicidal thought for 2 weeks or longer. Symptoms of PPD can begin as early as in the 4<sup>th</sup> week following labor and can persist for 1 year (19).

### Participants and Procedure

The study sample consisted of all pregnant women who applied to the prenatal center of the hospital. The center where the study was conducted was preferred because it had no antenatal education classes, although the clinic provides prenatal care to an average of 200 women daily.

The antenatal education program (AEP) was prepared by the authors. AEP was announced through banners and brochures in hospitals. The education group (EG) was thus made up of pregnant women who willingly registered in AEP. The participants who registered in AEP, met the sampling criteria, and completed the 4-week program constituted EG. The control group (CG) consisted of pregnant women who received routine antenatal care, met the sampling criteria, and did not join AEP. A total of 116 women (EG=59 and CG=57) were recruited. The study




Figure 1. Study flow chart

was completed with 65 participants (EG=31 and CG=34). Fifty-one women were excluded from the study because of the following: neonatal birth weight  $\leq 2500$ , the existence of anomalies in the newborn, not attending entire AEP sessions, or being out of reach during the follow-up period. The study flow chart is provided in Figure 1.

### Sample Size Calculation

The participants were chosen through simple random sampling. The sample size was calculated with power analysis. At the end of the pilot study, it was expected that antenatal education will make a difference of  $0.26 \pm 0.22$  in functional status scores. In this study, the amount of type-I error was taken as 0.05 and the power of the test was taken as 0.80. It was also estimated that 15 participants would be included in each group (20).

### Inclusion Criteria

Participants who were aged over 18 years, were in the 20<sup>th</sup>-36<sup>th</sup> gestational weeks, were primipara, were married, did not have pregnancy-related complications (preeclampsia, diabetes, and others), or were not diagnosed with depression in the pre pregnancy period were included in the study.

### Implementation of AEP

Antenatal education program, which took 4 weeks (12 h), was performed by the authors in the pregnancy education room of the hospital. In education sessions, power point presentations, verbal lectures, videos, demonstrations using infant care materials, and discussion and application methods were used.

### Content of AEP

#### 1<sup>st</sup> Week: Basic Knowledge of Pregnancy

The 1<sup>st</sup> week of AEP covered fundamental topics related to pregnancy, such as the development of pregnancy, physical and physiological changes, prenatal tests, and abnormal signs during pregnancy.

**2<sup>nd</sup> Week: Care During Pregnancy**

The 2<sup>nd</sup> week covered topics such as nutrition, physical activity, exercise, sexuality, symptoms of pregnancy, and the management of pregnancy symptoms.

**3<sup>rd</sup> Week: Childbirth**

The 3<sup>rd</sup> week covered topics such as preparing a birth plan, the physiology of childbirth, symptoms of going in to labor, interventions employed during labor, and coping with pain (relaxation, focusing, breathing exercises, and others).

**4<sup>th</sup> Week: Postpartum Period**

The 4<sup>th</sup> week included topics such as postpartum nutrition, exercise, sexuality, breastfeeding, infant care, family planning, and physical and emotional changes. It also covered the topics of “maternity blues” and “PPD” recognition and symptoms and strategies for coping with this condition.

**Data Collection**

Data were gathered in 3 stages, which included the prenatal period, 6<sup>th</sup> postnatal week, and the 6<sup>th</sup> postnatal month. The data of EG and CG were collected simultaneously. Data collection was performed using the Demographic Questionnaire (DQ), the 6<sup>th</sup> Week Assessment Form, IFSAC, and EPDS.

**Demographic Questionnaire:** The form consisted of questions prepared by the researchers in order to evaluate the socio-demographic characteristics of women (maternal age, maternal education, duration of marriage, and partner age).

**6<sup>th</sup> Week Assessment Form:** The form was prepared by the authors in order to assess the type of delivery and physical problems experienced by the women.

**Inventory of Functional Status after Childbirth:** IFSAC was developed by Fawcet et al. (18) in order to assess the functional recovery after childbirth. The Turkish validity and reliability study of the inventory was performed by Özkan and Sevil (21). IFSAC is a 4-point Likert-type scale consisting of 36 items. It is possible to get scores between 0 and 4 from the scale. Higher scores indicate that the functional status is satisfactory.

In our study, the Cronbach’s alpha internal consistency coefficients of the scale at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week and the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month were found to be 0.70 and 0.73, respectively.

**Edinburg Postpartum Depression Scale:** The scale was developed by Cox et al. (22), in 1987. The Turkish validity and reliability study of the scale was performed by Engindeniz et al. (23). The aim of the scale is to screen for depression in postpartum women. The 4-point Likert-type scale consists of 10 items. Each item receives a score of 0-3. A score between 0 and 30 can be obtained from the scale. The cutoff value of the scale is 13. Women who score 13 and above are accepted to be at a risk for depression. The sensitivity of the scale is 0.84, and its specificity is 0.88. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of EPDS was found to be 0.83 at the 6<sup>th</sup> week and 0.78 at the 6<sup>th</sup> month.

**Statistical Analysis**

Analysis of data was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 14.0 (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA). The comparison of

**Table 1.** Characteristics of study participants (n=65)

Characteristics	Education group (n=31)	Control group (n=34)	Statistical analyses	
<b>Maternal age (years)</b>				
Mean±SD	27±4.8	26.6±4.7	Z=-0.09;	
Med (25–75 quarter)	(21–37)	(18–38)	p>0.05 <sup>a</sup>	
Partner age (years) (Mean±SD)	29.6±5	29.5±3.6	t=0.52;	
			p>0.05 <sup>b</sup>	
<b>Duration of marriage (month)</b>				
Mean±SD	27.9±19.7	34.5±29.2	Z=-0.68;	
Med (25–75 quarter)	(4–72)	(6–144)	p>0.05 <sup>a</sup>	
<b>Maternal education [n (%)]</b>				
Middle school	11 (35.5)	11 (32.4)	X=0.79;	
High school	13 (41.9)	12 (35.3)		p>0.05 <sup>c</sup>
University degree or above	7 (22.6)	11 (32.4)		
<b>Type of delivery [n (%)]</b>				
Instrumental vaginal	14 (45.2)	15 (44.1)	X=0.007;	
Caesarean section	17 (54.8)	18 (55.9)		p>0.05 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Mann–Whitney U test, <sup>b</sup>Independent samples t-test, <sup>c</sup>Pearson’s chi-squared test. SD: standard deviation

scores between groups was performed using the independent samples t-test and the Mann-Whitney U test. Among the participants, the EPDS scores at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week had a normal distribution; therefore, the independent samples t-test was used in statistical analysis. Because IFSAC scores at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month had a non-normal distribution, the Mann-Whitney U test was used for statistical analysis. P values<0.05 were accepted as statistically significant.

**Ethics**

This study was in accordance with the Ethics Committee of the Marmara University (protocol no: 09.2011.0051). Permission was taken from the hospital administrators. After revealing the aim and duration of the study to the participants, the participants were announced that they can leave from the study whenever they want. Written informed consent was provided from all the participants.

**RESULTS**

The mean age of the participants was 27±4.8 years in EG and 26.6±4.7 years in CG. Other characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. There was no significant difference among the group characteristics (p>0.05) (Table 1).

It was found that the type of delivery was similar in both the groups (p>0.05) (Table 1). Physical problems experienced by the participants at the first 6 weeks following delivery included nipple cracks [EG=45.2% (n=14); CG=61.8% (n=21)], insomnia [EG=41.9% (n=13); CG=41.2% (n=14)], backache [EG=35.5% (n=11); CG= 26.5% (n=9)], and problems related to stitches in CS and the episiotomy area [EG=32.3% (n=10); CG=23.5% (n=8)]. Postpartum physical problems were similar in both the groups (p>0.05).

**Table 2.** Scale scores of participants in 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week and 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month (n=65)

Scales score	Education group (n=31) mean±SD	Control group (n=34) mean±SD	Statistical analyses
<b>EPDS score</b>			
6 <sup>th</sup> postpartum week	8.3±5.8	8.7±6.2	t=-0.29; p>0.05 <sup>a</sup>
6 <sup>th</sup> postpartum month	5.7±4.7	6.1±5.6	t=-0.36; p>0.05 <sup>a</sup>
<b>IFSAC score</b>			
6 <sup>th</sup> postpartum week	2.2±0.33	2.3±0.34	t=-0.28; p>0.05 <sup>a</sup>
6 <sup>th</sup> postpartum month	2.8±0.27	2.7±0.30	Z=-1.3; p>0.05 <sup>b</sup>
Median (25–75 quarter)	(2.6–3.1)	(2.5–2.9)	

<sup>a</sup>Independent samples t-test, <sup>b</sup>Mann–Whitney U test. SD: standard deviation; EPDS: Edinburg Postpartum Depression Scale; IFSAC: Inventory of Functional Status After Childbirth

**Influence of Antenatal Education on EPDS Scores**

The rate of PPD during the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week was found to be 16.1% (n=5) in EG and 32.4% (n=11) in CG, while it was found to be 9.7% (n=3) in EG and 11.8% (n=4) in CG during the 6<sup>th</sup> month after childbirth. Rates of PPD during the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week and during the 6<sup>th</sup> month following childbirth were similar in both the groups (p<0.05).

The mean EPDS score at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week was 8.3±5.8 in EG and 8.7±6.2 in CG. The mean EPDS score at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month was 5.7±4.7 in EG and 6.1±5.6 in CG. EPDS scores were similar within the groups at the 6<sup>th</sup> week and the 6<sup>th</sup> month, and there was no significant difference between the groups (p>0.05) (Table 2).

**Influence of Antenatal Education on IFSAC Scores**

The mean IFSAC score at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week was 2.2±0.33 in EG and 2.3±0.34 in CG. The mean IFSAC score at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month was 2.8±0.27 in EG and 2.7±0.30 in CG. IFSAC scores were similar within the groups at the 6<sup>th</sup> week and the 6<sup>th</sup> month, and there was no significant difference between the groups (p>0.05) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

In the current literature, there are studies examining the effect of antenatal education on various factors (1, 6, 9-12). In our country, although only 1 study has evaluated the effect of antenatal education on the postpartum functional status (13), no study has directly investigated the effect of antenatal education on PPD. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to simultaneously examine the influence of antenatal education on PPD and the postpartum functional status. It is thought that the findings of the present study will make a contribution to the literature.

Our results denoted that antenatal education was not helpful in enhancing the postpartum functional status of women and decreasing PPD scores.

It was seen that the mean age and education level of participants in our study were higher than those in other studies (24, 25). This finding may indicate that women with a higher educational level are willing to take part in antenatal education. Adaptation to marriage and to the newborn are important crises in a woman’s life. It may be difficult for a woman to accept pregnancy and the baby, particularly in cases of unplanned pregnancies (26). Moreover, unplanned pregnancies negatively affect IFSAC scores (24). In our study, the marriage duration of the participants and the rate of planned pregnancies showed similarities to those in other studies (24, 25, 27) and were similar in both the groups.

Previous research has demonstrated that having a C-section (CS) negatively affects the postpartum functional status (27) and increases the risk of PPD (28). Our results showed that the rate of CS was high as reported in other studies (24, 27) and was similar in both the groups.

Supporting our findings, other studies have revealed that a significant portion of women experience postpartum fatigue, breast problems, constipation, backache, pains in CS and episiotomy areas, headache, hemorrhoid, and problems in stitches (25, 29, 30). There are studies supporting that physical problems that cannot be controlled through education increase the risk of PPD and *negatively impact* the functional status (31). In the present study, physical complaints were experienced at similar levels in both the groups, which may facilitate our interpretation of the influence of antenatal education on PPD and the functional status.

Postpartum depression is an important health issue that women face in the postpartum period (5). During the antenatal education provided in our study, information was given to the participants about PPD and relevant coping strategies. However, it was found that the scores of women who received and who did not receive antenatal education at both the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week and the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month were similar. This finding supports our main hypothesis, i.e., pregnant women who receive antenatal education will have lower EPDS scores at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week and the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month than those who do not receive antenatal education. Our findings are similar to those of recent studies (14-17). In the study of Buist et al. (14), pregnant women received antenatal education with their partners. In another study, education about postnatal depression was given to the participants in a single session (17). Nevertheless, these studies reported that antenatal education is not effective in reducing the risk of PPD.

Considering the fact that PPD is affected by numerous variables, it is possible that antenatal education may not influence PPD. More studies investigating the effect of antenatal educations on PPD are needed.

Our hypothesis that women who receive antenatal education will have higher IFSAC scores than those who do not receive antenatal education was not supported by the findings of the present study. However, similar to previous studies (11), the IFSAC scores of women who received and those who did not receive antenatal education at the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum week and the 6<sup>th</sup> postpartum month were similar. This finding indicates that because the functional status is associated with social and psychological adaptation, antenatal education alone may not be sufficient to improve it.

### Study Limitations

Although the study was planned as a randomized controlled study, randomization could not be achieved because the number of women who participated in our study was relatively low and drop-outs were frequent. Therefore, because of the small sample size, research results cannot be generalized to the relevant population.

### Implications for Nursing and Health Policy

AEP alone may not be effective in decreasing PPD and in improving the postpartum functional status. Nurses should plan a special education program (on preventing and coping with PPD/improving the postpartum functional status, and others) rather than general AEP to prevent PPD and to improve the postpartum functional status in women. Besides, multicenter studies with larger sample sizes may enable better assessment of the effects of AEP on postpartum women.

### CONCLUSION

Antenatal education program alone may not be effective in decreasing PPD and in improving the postpartum functional status.

**Ethics Committee Approval:** Ethics committee approval was received for this study from the ethics committee of Marmara University School of Medicine (Protocol No: 09.2011.0051, Date: 03.03.2011).

**Informed Consent:** Written informed consent was obtained from all participants who participated in this study.

**Peer-review:** Externally peer-reviewed.

**Author Contributions:** Concept - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Design - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Supervision - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Materials - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Data Collection and/or Processing - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Literature Search - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Writing Manuscript - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Critical Review - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Other - Ö.C.G., Z.E.

**Conflict of Interest:** No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

**Etik Komite Onayı:** Bu çalışma için etik komite onayı Marmara Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi'nden (Protokol No: 09.2011.0051, Tarih: 03.03.2011) alınmıştır.

**Hasta Onamı:** Yazılı hasta onamı bu çalışmaya katılan tüm katılımcılardan alınmıştır.

**Hakem Değerlendirmesi:** Dış bağımsız.

**Yazar Katkıları:** Fikir - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Tasarım - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Denetleme - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Malzemeler - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Veri Toplanması ve/veya İşlemesi - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Analiz ve/veya Yorum - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Literatür Taraması - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Yazıyı Yazan - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Eleştirel İnceleme - Ö.C.G., Z.E.; Diğer - Ö.C.G., Z.E.

**Çıkar Çatışması:** Yazarlar çıkar çatışması bildirmemişlerdir.

**Finansal Destek:** Yazarlar bu çalışma için finansal destek almadıklarını beyan etmişlerdir.

### REFERENCES

1. Bahrami N, Simbar M, Bahrami S. The effect of prenatal education on mother's quality of life during first year postpartum among Iranian women: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Fertil Steril* 2013; 7: 169.

2. Gagnon AJ, Sandall J. Individual or group antenatal education for child birth or parenthood, or both. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 3. Art. No: CD002869.
3. Simpson KR. AWHONN's perinatal nursing. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008: 89-90.
4. Weiner EA, Billamay S, Partridge JC, Martinez AM. Antenatal education for expectant mothers results in sustained improvement in knowledge of newborn care. *J Perinatol* 2011; 31: 92-7. [CrossRef]
5. Goodman JH. Postpartum depression beyond the early postpartum period. *JOGNN* 2004; 33: 410-20. [CrossRef]
6. Lin SS, Chien LY, Tai CJ, Lee CF. Effectiveness of a prenatal education programme on breastfeeding outcomes in Taiwan. *J Clin Nurs* 2007; 17: 296-303. [CrossRef]
7. Okumuş H, Yenal K, Ozan YD, Öztürk E. Scientific studies on hildbirth Education classes in Turkey: Literature review. *Türkiye Klinikleri J Obstet Womens Health Dis Nurs-Special Topics* 2015; 1: 16-24.
8. Wong KL, Fong DY, Lee IL, Chu S, Tarrant M. Antenatal education to increase exclusive breastfeeding: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2014; 124: 961-8. [CrossRef]
9. Herguner S, Çiçek E, Annagür A, Hergüner A, Örs R. Association of delivery type with postpartum depression, perceived social support and maternal attachment. *Düşünen Adam: The Journal of Psychiatry and Neurological Sciences* 2014; 27: 15-20.
10. Hesselink AE, Poppel MN, Eijdsen M, Twiks JWR, Wal MF. The effectiveness of a perinatal education programme on smoking, infant care, and psychosocial health for ethnic Turkish women. *Midwifery* 2012; 28: 306-13. [CrossRef]
11. Altıntuğ K, Ege E. Sağlık eğitiminin annelerin taburculuğa hazır oluş, doğum sonu güçlük yaşama ve yaşam kalitesine etkisi. *Hemşirelikte Araştırma Geliştirme Dergisi* 2013; 15: 45-56.
12. Coşar F, Demirci N. Lamaze felsefesine dayalı doğuma hazırlık eğitiminin doğum algısı ve doğuma uyum sürecine etkisi. *SDU Journal of Health Science Institute* 2012; 3: 18-30.
13. Şeker S, Sevil Ü. Effect of Childbirth Education Classes to Postpartum Maternal Functional Status and Newborn Perception. *Türkiye Klinikleri J Obstet Womens Health Dis Nurs-Special Topics* 2015; 1: 1-9.
14. Buist A, Westley D, Hill C. Antenatal prevention of postnatal depression. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 1999; 1: 167-73. [CrossRef]
15. Brugha TS, Wheatley S, Taub NA, Culverwell A, Friedman T, Kirwan P, et al. Pragmatic randomized trial of antenatal intervention to prevent post-natal depression by reducing psychosocial risk factors. *Psychol Med* 2000; 30: 1273-81. [CrossRef]
16. Dennis CL. Psychosocial and psychological interventions for prevention of postnatal depression: systematic review. *BMJ* 2005; 331: 15. [CrossRef]
17. Hayes BA, Muller R, Bradley BS. Perinatal depression: a randomized controlled trial of an antenatal education intervention for primiparas. *Birth* 2000; 28: 28-35. [CrossRef]
18. Fawcett J, Tulman L, Myers ST. Development of the inventory of functional status after childbirth. *J Nurse Midwifery* 1998; 33: 252-9. [CrossRef]
19. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4. Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR)*. Washington, DC American Psychiatric Association. 2000.
20. Esin NM. Sampling. (Eds: Erdoğan, S., Nahcivan, N., Esin, N.). *Research Process in Nursing Practice and Critical*. İstanbul, Nobel Tıp Kitapevleri 2014: 193-233.
21. Özkan S, Sevil Ü. The Study of Validity and Reliability of Inventory of Functional Status After Childbirth. *TAF Prev Med Bull* 2007; 6: 199-208.
22. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10 item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry* 1987; 150: 782-6. [CrossRef]
23. Engindeniz AN, Kuey L, Kultur S. Study on validity and reliability of the Turkish version of Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale. *The Book of Spring Symposium, Ankara*. 2000.

24. Apay SE, Pasinlioğlu T. Sectional Study: The investigation of functional situations of the women after the labor. *Journal of Nursing Research Development*, 2009; 1: 20-9.
25. Gürkan ÖC, Potur DC, Bilgin NC, Arslan, H. Risk factors for early and late postpartum depression: A prospective study from Turkey. *Health Med* 2014; 8: 546-52.
26. Turkcapar AF, Kadioğlu N, Aslan E, Tunc S, Zayıfoğlu M, Mollamahmutoğlu L. Sociodemographic and clinical features of postpartum depression among Turkish women: a prospective study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2015; 15: 108. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Şanlı Y, Oncel S. Evaluation of the functional status of woman after child birth and effective factors. *J Turk Soc Obstet Gynecol* 2014; 2: 105-14. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Clout D, Brown R. Sociodemographic, pregnancy, obstetric, and postnatal predictors of postpartum stress, anxiety and depression in new mothers. *J Affect Disord* 2015; 188: 60-7. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Howell EA, Mora P, Leventhal H. Correlates of early postpartum depressive symptoms. *Matern Child Health J* 2006; 10: 149-57. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Khresheh R, Suhaimat A, Jalamdeh F, Barclay L. The effect of a postnatal education and support program on breastfeeding among primiparous women: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2001; 48: 1058-65. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Webb DA, Bloch JR, Coyne JC, Chung EK, Bennett IM, Culhane JF. Postpartum physical symptoms in new mothers: their relationship to functional limitations and emotional well-being. *Birth* 2008; 35: 179-87. [\[CrossRef\]](#)