

ON QUASI n -IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGSADAM ANEBRI, NAJIB MAHDOU, Fez,
EMEL ASLANKARAYIĞIT UĞURLU, Istanbul

Received September 29, 2021. Published online July 28, 2022.

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with a nonzero identity. In this study, we present a new class of ideals lying properly between the class of n -ideals and the class of $(2, n)$ -ideals. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a quasi n -ideal if $\sqrt{I}$ is an n -ideal of R . Many examples and results are given to disclose the relations between this new concept and others that already exist, namely, the n -ideals, the quasi primary ideals, the $(2, n)$ -ideals and the pr -ideals. Moreover, we use the quasi n -ideals to characterize some kind of rings. Finally, we investigate quasi n -ideals under various contexts of constructions such as direct product, power series, idealization, and amalgamation of a ring along an ideal.

Keywords: n -ideal; quasi n -ideal; $(2, n)$ -ideal

MSC 2020: 13A15, 13A18

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we focus only on commutative rings with a nonzero identity and nonzero unital modules. Let R always denote such a ring and M denote such an R -module. The principal ideal generated by $a \in R$ is denoted by (a) . Also the radical of I is defined as $\sqrt{I} := \{r \in R: r^k \in I \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. In particular, $\sqrt{0} := \{r \in R: r^k = 0 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the set of all nilpotent elements of R . For a subset S of R and an ideal I of R , we define $(I :_R S) := \{r \in R: rS \subseteq I\}$. In particular, we use $\text{Ann}(S)$ instead of $(0 :_R S)$. Moreover, for any $a \in R$ and any ideal I of R we use $(I : a)$ and $\text{Ann}(a)$ to denote $(I :_R \{a\})$ and $\text{Ann}(\{a\})$, respectively. An element $a \in R$ is called a *regular* (or *zerodivisor*) *element* if $\text{Ann}(a) = (0)$ (or $\text{Ann}(a) \neq (0)$). The set of all regular (or zerodivisor) elements of R is denoted by $r(R)$ (or $\text{zd}(R)$).

In 2015, Mohamadian presented the notion of r -ideals in commutative rings with a nonzero identity as follows: an ideal I of a commutative ring with identity R

is called *r-ideal* (or *pr-ideal*) if $ab \in I$ and a is regular element implies that $b \in I$ (or $b^n \in I$, for some natural number n) for each $a, b \in R$, see [9]. In 2017, the authors introduced the concept of *n-ideals* of a commutative ring with a nonzero identity R as follows: let I be a proper ideal of R . If whenever $ab \in I$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0}$, then $b \in I$, we say I is an *n-ideal* of R , see [11]. It is clear that every *n-ideal* is an *r-ideal* since $\sqrt{0} \subseteq \text{zd}(R)$. In [10], Tamekkante and Bouba introduced a generalization of the class of *n-ideals* called *(2, n)-ideals*. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a *(2, n)-ideal* if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in \sqrt{0}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{0}$. They proved that an ideal I of R is a *(2, n)-ideal* if and only if I is 2-absorbing primary ideal and $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$, see [10], Theorem 2.4.

On the other hand, the concept of quasi primary ideals in commutative rings was introduced and investigated by Fuchs in [7]. The author called an ideal I of R as a quasi primary ideal if $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal. In [12], the notion of 2-absorbing quasi primary ideals is introduced as follows: a proper ideal I of R is 2-absorbing quasi primary if $\sqrt{I}$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R .

In this paper, our aim is to introduce a generalization of the concepts of *n-ideals* in commutative rings with a nonzero identity. For this, firstly with Definition 2.1, we introduce the concept of quasi *n-ideals* of R as follows: let I be a proper ideal of R , if $\sqrt{I}$ is an *n-ideal* of R , then I is said to be a quasi *n-ideal* of R . In addition to giving main properties of quasi *n-ideals*, we give a characterization for them, see Theorem 2.1. At this point, we observe that quasi *n-ideals* exist in a ring R only when $\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal. On the other hand, we have the following figure with nonreversible arrows, see Examples 2.1 and 2.2

$$n\text{-ideal} \rightarrow \text{quasi } n\text{-ideal} \rightarrow (2, n)\text{-ideal}.$$

Moreover, we study the rings over which every proper ideal is a quasi *n-ideal*. Finally, we give an idea about quasi *n-ideals* of the localization of rings, the power series rings, the trivial ring extensions and the amalgamated of rings along an ideal.

2. QUASI *n*-IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

Definition 2.1. Let R be a commutative ring with a nonzero identity and I be a proper ideal of R . If $\sqrt{I}$ is an *n-ideal* of R , then I is said to be a quasi *n-ideal* of R .

It can be easily seen that every *n-ideal* of a ring R is a quasi *n-ideal*. But the converse is not true. For this, we can give the following example, which is a *quasi n-ideal* but not *n-ideal*.

Example 2.1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(Y^4)$. For $x = X + (Y^4)$ and $y = Y + (Y^4)$, consider $I = (xy, y^2)$. It is clear that $\sqrt{0_R} = (y)$. Since $(x+y)y \in I$ but $x+y \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ and $y \notin I$, we get that I is not an n -ideal of R . On the other hand, $\sqrt{0_R} = (y)$ is a prime ideal of R . By [11], Corollary 2.9 (i), we say $\sqrt{0_R}$ is an n -ideal. Moreover, $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0_R}$ as $I \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$. Hence, $\sqrt{I}$ is an n -ideal, i.e., I is a quasi n -ideal of R .

The following theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a proper ideal to be a quasi n -ideal.

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a ring and I be a proper ideal of R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) I is a quasi n -ideal.
- (2) I is a quasi primary ideal and $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$.
- (3) For two ideals I_1, I_2 of R , if $I_1 I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $I_1 \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$, then $I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let I be a quasi n -ideal of R . Suppose that $I \not\subseteq \sqrt{0}$, then we can pick an element $a \in I - \sqrt{0}$ and we consider $a \cdot 1 \in I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. As $\sqrt{I}$ is an n -ideal and $a \notin \sqrt{0}$, we must have $1 \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus, $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ and hence $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let $I_1 I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $I_1 \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$ for two ideals I_1, I_2 of R . There exists $a \in I_1 - \sqrt{0}$. Then we say $a I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, i.e., $I_2 \subseteq (\sqrt{I} : a)$. By assumption, we have $I_2 \subseteq (\sqrt{I} : a) = \sqrt{I}$, as needed.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Choose $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0}$. Consider $I_1 = (a)$ and $I_2 = (b)$. By our hypothesis, $(b) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, that is, $b \in \sqrt{I}$. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *Let R be a ring.*

- (1) (0) is a quasi n -ideal of R if and only if $\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal of R .
- (2) Let R be a reduced ring. Then R is an integral domain if and only if (0) is the only quasi n -ideal of R .

Proof. (1) It is clear.

(2) Suppose that R is an integral domain, then as $\sqrt{0} = (0)$ is prime, (0) is a quasi n -ideal by (1). On the other hand, if I is a quasi n -ideal of R , then $I \subseteq \sqrt{0} = (0)$ by Theorem 2.1. For the converse, one can see that if (0) is a quasi n -ideal, then R is an integral domain. $\square$

Remark 2.1. It should not be surprising that a ring R does not have a quasi n -ideal. For instance, $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ has no quasi n -ideals. Indeed, let I be a quasi n -ideal. By Theorem 2.1, we say $I \subseteq \sqrt{0} = (\bar{0})$, so $I = (\bar{0})$. Moreover, since $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{3} \in \sqrt{0}$, $\bar{2} \notin \sqrt{0}$ and $\bar{3} \notin \sqrt{0}$, we conclude $(\bar{0})$ is not a quasi n -ideal.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1, we give a characterization of rings that admit quasi n -ideals.

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a ring. There is a quasi n -ideal of R if and only if $\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal of R .*

The following proposition shows that the class of quasi n -ideals is a subclass of $(2, n)$ -ideals.

Proposition 2.1. *Every quasi n -ideal of a ring R is a $(2, n)$ -ideal.*

Proof. Let I be a quasi n -ideal, then $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ is a prime. By Theorem 2.8 of [2], I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal and hence I is a $(2, n)$ -ideal of R by Theorem 2.4 of [10], as needed. $\square$

The following example proves that the converse of the previous proposition is not true, in general.

Example 2.2. Consider the ideal $I := (\bar{0})$ of the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$. Then, by Example 2.3 of [10], I is a $(2, n)$ -ideal. However, R has no quasi n -ideals by Remark 2.1.

Note that similarly to the concept of quasi n -ideals, we can define the concept of “quasi r -ideals” of R as follows: if $\sqrt{I}$ is an r -ideal, we say I is a quasi r -ideal of R . On the other hand, Mohamadian proved that I is a pr -ideal if and only if $\sqrt{I}$ is an r -ideal, see [9], Proposition 2.16. Thus, we conclude the two concepts, quasi r -ideals and pr -ideals, are identical. In this study for this concept, we will use “quasi r -ideals” to catch the similarity of the concept of “quasi n -ideals”.

Proposition 2.2. *Let I be a proper ideal of R . If I is a quasi n -ideal, then I is a quasi r -ideal.*

Proof. Suppose that I is a quasi n -ideal, so $\sqrt{I}$ is an n -ideal. Since every n -ideal is an r -ideal, $\sqrt{I}$ is also an r -ideal. It is done. $\square$

As $\sqrt{0} \subseteq \text{zd}(R)$, one can easily show that if (0) is a primary ideal of R , then $\sqrt{0} = \text{zd}(R)$. Thus, the n -ideals and r -ideals are identical in any commutative ring such that (0) is primary. By the help of the same argument, one can see the following remark.

Remark 2.2. The quasi n -ideals and quasi r -ideals are identical in any commutative ring, where (0) is a primary ideal.

Proposition 2.3. *The intersection of any family of quasi n -ideals of R is a quasi n -ideal of R .*

Proof. Let $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ be a family of quasi n -ideals of R . We will show that $\sqrt{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} I_\alpha}$ is an n -ideal of R . As I_α is a quasi n -ideal of R , we know $\sqrt{I_\alpha}$ is an n -ideal of R . Thus, $\sqrt{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} I_\alpha} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \sqrt{I_\alpha}$ implies that $\sqrt{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} I_\alpha}$ is an n -ideal by [11], Proposition 2.4. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a ring. If I is a proper ideal of R and P is a prime ideal of R such that $I \cap P$ is a quasi n -ideal, then either I is a quasi n -ideal or $P = \sqrt{0}$.*

Proof. If $I \subseteq P$, then $I = I \cap P$ is a quasi n -ideal. Now, we suppose that $I \not\subseteq P$ and take $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in P$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0}$. By hypothesis, we can pick an element $x \in I - P$, hence $abx \in I \cap P$. The fact that $I \cap P$ is a quasi n -ideal and $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ implies that $bx \in \sqrt{I \cap P}$. Thus, $b \in P$ and so P is an n -ideal of R , which shows that $P = \sqrt{0}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a ring and $I_1, \dots, I_n$ be ideals of R , where $n \geq 2$. If I_i and I_j are co-primes for each $i \neq j$, then $\bigcap_{k=1}^n I_k$ is not a quasi- n -ideal of R .*

Proof. Suppose that $\bigcap_{k=1}^n I_k$ is a quasi- n -ideal. We will prove that I_j is a quasi n -ideal for each j . Let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \in \sqrt{I_j}$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0}$. Since I_j and I_k are co-primes for each $k \neq j$, we have that I_j and $\bigcap_{k \neq j} I_k$ must be co-primes. Then there exist $x \in I_j$ and $y \in \bigcap_{k \neq j} I_k$ such that $1 = x + y$. Thus, $aby \in \sqrt{\bigcap_{k=1}^n I_k}$, which implies that $b^m y^m \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n I_k$ for a positive integer m . So, $b^m y^{m-1} = b^m y^{m-1}x + b^m y^m \in I_j$. By induction, we can prove that $b \in \sqrt{I_j}$. It follows that I_j is a quasi n -ideal. By Theorem 2.1, we obtain $1 \in \sqrt{0}$, a desired contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let R be a ring and S be a nonempty subset of R . If I is a quasi n -ideal of R with $S \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$, then $(I : S)$ is a quasi n -ideal of R .*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{(I : S)} \subseteq (\sqrt{I} : S) = \sqrt{I}$. This, in turn, follows from the fact that I is a quasi n -ideal of R and $S \not\subseteq \sqrt{0}$, as needed. $\square$

Let R be a ring. We call a quasi n -ideal I of R a maximal quasi n -ideal if there is no quasi n -ideal which contains I properly. We observe that $\sqrt{0}$ is the unique maximal quasi n -ideal in a ring R .

Theorem 2.3. *Let R be a ring. If I is a maximal quasi n -ideal of R , then $I = \sqrt{0}$.*

Proof. Let I be a maximal quasi n -ideal. We claim that I is an n -ideal. Choose $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \in I$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0}$. Then, by Proposition 2.5, $(I : a)$ is a quasi n -ideal of R . Since I is a maximal quasi n -ideal of R , it must be $(I : a) = I$, hence $b \in I$. Consequently, I is a maximal n -ideal, that is, $I = \sqrt{0}$ by [11], Theorem 2.11. $\square$

Proposition 2.6. *Let R be a zero dimensional ring. Then R admits a quasi n -ideal if and only if $(R, \sqrt{0})$ is a local ring.*

Proof. Let R be a zero dimensional ring which admits a quasi n -ideal. Then, by Theorem 2.2, $\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal. Moreover, if P is a prime ideal of R , then $\sqrt{0} = P$ by maximality of $\sqrt{0}$. Hence, R is a local ring. For the converse, it can be easily seen that if $(R, \sqrt{0})$ is a local ring, then $\sqrt{0}$ is the unique prime ideal of R . Thus, every proper ideal of R is an n -ideal (so a quasi n -ideal), as desired. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let R be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a field.
- (2) R is a Boolean ring and (0) is a quasi n -ideal.
- (3) R is a von Neumann regular ring and (0) is a quasi n -ideal.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are clear.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Assume that R is a von Neumann regular ring and (0) is a quasi n -ideal. So, R is a reduced ring and is zero dimensional. Hence, R is a field by Proposition 2.6. $\square$

Corollary 2.4 ([11], Proposition 3.1). *Let m be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathbb{Z}_m$ has a quasi n -ideal.
- (2) $\mathbb{Z}_m$ has an n -ideal.
- (3) $m = p^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, where p is a prime number.

According to [3], a ring R is called an UN-ring if every nonunit element a of R is a product of a unit and a nilpotent element.

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R is an UN-ring.
- (2) Every proper principal ideal of R is a quasi n -ideal.
- (3) Every proper ideal of R is a quasi n -ideal.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from Proposition 2.25 of [11].

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let I be a proper ideal of R . Assume that $ab \in I$ for some elements $a \in R - \sqrt{0}$ and $b \in R$. Then, by assumption, $b \in \sqrt{(ab)} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Thus, I is a quasi n -ideal.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let P be a prime ideal of R , then P is a quasi n -ideal and so $P = \sqrt{0}$, which implies that $\sqrt{0}$ is the unique prime ideal of R . It follows that R is an UN-ring by [3], Proposition 2 (3). $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $I, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m$ be ideals of R such that $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$. If I_i is a quasi n -ideal and the others have nonnilpotent elements such that $I \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j \neq i} I_j$, then $I \subseteq \sqrt{I_i}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $i = 1$. By our hypothesis, $I \not\subseteq I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$. Thus, there is $x \in I$ but $x \notin I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$. This means that $x \in I_1$. Now, we claim $I \cap \bigcap_{k=2}^m I_k \subseteq I_1$. Choose $\alpha \in I \cap \bigcap_{k=2}^m I_k$. Note that $x \notin I_k$ and $\alpha \in I_k$ for $k = 2, \dots, m$. This implies $x + \alpha \notin I_k$. Thus, $x + \alpha \in I - \bigcup_{j=2}^m I_j$, which implies $x + \alpha \in I_1$. Then we conclude $\alpha \in I_1$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.2, $\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal of R . Hence, $R - \sqrt{0}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of R , so the product of nonnilpotent elements is a nonnilpotent element. This means that $\prod_{k=2}^m I_k \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$. Now, note that $I \left(\prod_{k=2}^m I_k \right) \subseteq I \cap \left(\prod_{k=2}^m I_k \right) \subseteq I_1$. Consider $I \left(\prod_{k=2}^m I_k \right) \subseteq \sqrt{I_1}$ and $\prod_{k=2}^m I_k \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 2.1, we conclude $I \subseteq \sqrt{I_1}$. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. Let R be a ring and J be an ideal of R such that $J \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$. Then:

- (1) If I_1 and I_2 are two quasi n -ideals of R such that $\sqrt{I_1}J = \sqrt{I_2}J$, then $\sqrt{I_1} = \sqrt{I_2}$.
- (2) If $\sqrt{I}J$ is a quasi n -ideal of R , then $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. (1) Consider $\sqrt{I_1}J \subseteq \sqrt{I_2}$. By Theorem 2.1, $\sqrt{I_1} \subseteq \sqrt{I_2}$. Similarly, we conclude $\sqrt{I_2} \subseteq \sqrt{I_1}$.

(2) By the assumption, $\sqrt{I}J$ is a quasi n -ideal and also consider $\sqrt{IJ} \subseteq \sqrt{\sqrt{I}J}$. By Theorem 2.1, we have $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\sqrt{I}J}$. As $\sqrt{\sqrt{I}J} = \sqrt{\sqrt{I}} \cap \sqrt{J} = \sqrt{IJ}$, we obtain $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{IJ}$, as required. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism. Then:

- (1) Suppose f is an epimorphism. If I is a quasi n -ideal of R such that $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$, then $f(I)$ is a quasi n -ideal of S .
- (2) Suppose f is a monomorphism. If J is a quasi n -ideal of S , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is a quasi n -ideal of R .

Proof. (1) Choose $x, y \in S$ such that $xy \in \sqrt{f(I)}$ and $x \notin \sqrt{0_S}$. Then there are $a, b \in R$ with $x = f(a)$ and $y = f(b)$. It is clear that $f(ab) \in \sqrt{f(I)}$. Also, $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$ implies $ab \in \sqrt{I}$. Note that $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ as $x \notin \sqrt{0_S}$. Thus, as I is a quasi n -ideal, we conclude $b \in \sqrt{I}$, that is, $y \in \sqrt{f(I)}$.

(2) Take $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in \sqrt{f^{-1}(J)}$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$. Then there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(ab)^k \in f^{-1}(J)$, that is, $f(ab)^k \in J$. On the other hand, as f is a monomorphism, $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ means $f(a) \notin \sqrt{0_S}$. Then we get $f(a)^k \notin \sqrt{0_S}$. Thus, by hypothesis, we obtain $f(b)^k \in J$, i.e., $b \in \sqrt{f^{-1}(J)}$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *Let I and J be two ideals of R such that $J \subseteq I$.*

- (1) *If I is a quasi n -ideal of R , then I/J is a quasi n -ideal of R/J .*
- (2) *If I/J is a quasi n -ideal of R/J and $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$, then I is a quasi n -ideal of R .*
- (3) *If I/J is a quasi n -ideal of R/J and J is a quasi n -ideal of R , then I is a quasi n -ideal of R .*

Proof. (1) Let $\pi: R \rightarrow R/J$ be the natural homomorphism. Since $\text{Ker}(f) = J \subseteq I$, by Theorem 2.5, we say $\pi(I) = I/J$ is a quasi n -ideal of R/J .

(2) Choose $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$. This implies that $(a+J)(b+J) \in \sqrt{I}/J = \sqrt{I/J}$. Also, note that $a+J \notin \sqrt{0_{R/J}}$, otherwise it would contradict with $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ since $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$. Hence, $b+J \in \sqrt{I/J}$, so $b \in \sqrt{I}$. Consequently, I is a quasi n -ideal of R .

(3) Since J is a quasi n -ideal, by Theorem 2.1, $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$. Thus, with item (2), it is done. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *Let S be a subring of R . If I is a quasi n -ideal of R such that $S \not\subseteq I$, then $I \cap S$ is a quasi n -ideal of S .*

Proof. Let $i: S \rightarrow R$ be the injection homomorphism. Clearly, $i^{-1}(I) = I \cap S$. By Theorem 2.5, $I \cap S$ is a quasi n -ideal of S . $\square$

Proposition 2.9. *Let R be a ring and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If I is a quasi n -ideal of R , then $S^{-1}I$ is a quasi n -ideal of $S^{-1}R$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $S = r(R)$. If J is a quasi n -ideal of $S^{-1}R$, then J^c is a quasi n -ideal of R .*

Proof. (1) Choose $a/s, b/t \in S^{-1}R$ such that $(a/s)(b/t) \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I} = S^{-1}\sqrt{I}$ and $a/s \notin \sqrt{0_{S^{-1}R}}$. Then we have $uab \in \sqrt{I}$ for some $u \in S$. Also, $a/s \notin \sqrt{0_{S^{-1}R}}$ implies that $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$. Since I is a quasi n -ideal of R , we conclude $ub \in \sqrt{I}$, i.e., $b/t = ub/(ut) \in S^{-1}\sqrt{I}$.

(2) Take $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in \sqrt{J^c}$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$. Then $(ab)^k \in J^c$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider $(a/1)^k(b/1)^k \in J$. Now, we claim $(a/1)^k \notin \sqrt{0_{S^{-1}R}}$. Let $(a/1)^k \in \sqrt{0_{S^{-1}R}}$. There exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a/1)^{kt} = 0_{S^{-1}R}$. Thus, for some $u \in S = r(R)$, we have $ua^{kt} = 0_R$. This implies that $a^{kt} \in \text{Ann}(u) = 0_R$, i.e., $a \in \sqrt{0_R}$. This gives us a contradiction. Thus, as J is a quasi n -ideal of $S^{-1}R$, we conclude $(b/1)^k \in J$. Consequently, $b \in \sqrt{J^c}$. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *Let R and S be two commutative rings. Then $R \times S$ has no quasi n -ideals.*

Proof. Let $I \times J$ be a quasi n -ideal of $R \times S$. Then $\sqrt{I \times J} = \sqrt{I} \times \sqrt{J}$ is an n -ideal of $R \times S$. But this result contradicts with Proposition 2.26 of [11]. $\square$

Proposition 2.10. *Let R be a ring and I be an ideal. Then:*

- (1) *R has a quasi n -ideal if and only if $R[X]$ has a quasi n -ideal.*
- (2) *If $I[X]$ is a quasi n -ideal of $R[X]$, then I is a quasi n -ideal of R .*
- (3) *(I, X) is never a quasi n -ideal of $R[X]$.*

Proof. (1) Combine Theorem 2.2 with Lemma 3.6 of [10].

(2) Assume that $I[X]$ is a quasi n -ideal of $R[X]$. Then, by Corollary 2.6, $I = I[X] \cap R$ is a quasi n -ideal of R .

(3) It follows from the fact that $\sqrt{(I, X)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{0_{R[X]}}$. $\square$

Recall that an ideal I of a ring is said to be a strong finite type (or an *SFT*-ideal) if there exist a natural number k and a finitely generated ideal $J \subseteq I$ such that $x^k \in J$ for each $x \in I$.

Proposition 2.11. *Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If $R[[X]]$ admits a quasi n -ideal, then R admits a quasi n -ideal. The converse holds provided that $\sqrt{0_R}$ is an *SFT*-ideal.*
- (2) *If $I[[X]]$ is a quasi n -ideal of $R[[X]]$, then $I[X]$ is a quasi n -ideal of $R[X]$ (so I is a quasi n -ideal of R).*

Proof. (1) If $R[[X]]$ has a quasi n -ideal, then $\sqrt{0_R} = \sqrt{0_{R[[X]]}} \cap R$ is an n -ideal of R and so $\sqrt{0_R}$ is a prime ideal of R . For the converse, we assume that $\sqrt{0_R}$ is an *SFT*-ideal. Then, by [8], Corollary 2.4, $\sqrt{0_{R[[X]]}} = \sqrt{0_R}[[X]]$. On the other hand, since R admits a quasi n -ideal, then $\sqrt{0_{R[[X]]}}$ is a prime ideal, which implies that $R[[X]]$ admits a quasi n -ideal.

(2) Suppose that $I[[X]]$ is a quasi n -ideal of $R[[X]]$, then $I[X] = I[[X]] \cap R[X]$ is a quasi n -ideal by Corollary 2.6. Hence, I is a quasi n -ideal. $\square$

Let R be a commutative ring with a nonzero identity and M be an R -module. Then the idealization $R(+)M = \{(a, m) : a \in R, m \in M\}$ is a commutative ring with componentwise addition and multiplication $(a, m)(b, n) = (ab, an + bm)$ for each $a, b \in R$ and $m, n \in M$. In addition, if I is an ideal of R and N is a submodule of M , then $I(+)N$ is an ideal of $R(+)M$ if and only if $IM \subseteq N$, see [1].

Theorem 2.7. *Let R be a ring and M be an R -module.*

- (1) *A proper ideal J of $R(+)M$ is a quasi n -ideal if and only if J_R is a quasi n -ideal of R , where $J_R = \{r \in R : (r, m) \in J \text{ for some } m \in M\}$.*

- (2) I is a quasi n -ideal of R if and only if $I(+)N$ is a quasi n -ideal of $R(+)M$ for each submodule N of M such that $IM \subseteq N$.

Proof. (1) Let J be a proper ideal of $R(+)M$. It is well known from [1], Theorem 3.2 (3) that $\sqrt{J} = \sqrt{J_R}(+)M$, where $J_R = \{r \in R: (r, m) \in J \text{ for some } m \in M\}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1, J is a quasi n -ideal if and only if $\sqrt{J_R}(+)M = \sqrt{0}(+)M$ is a prime ideal if and only if J_R is a quasi n -ideal of R . It is done.

(2) It follows from (1). □

The following is an example of a quasi n -ideal that is not an n -ideal.

Example 2.3. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$, where p and q are prime numbers. Then, the zero ideal of $R(+)M$ is a quasi n -ideal which is not an n -ideal. Indeed, $\sqrt{0_{R(+)M}} = 0(+)M$ is prime. However, $(p, 0)(0, q) \in (0, 0)$ but $(p, 0) \notin \sqrt{0_{R(+)M}}$ and $(0, q) \notin (0, 0)$.

Let R and S be two rings, J be an ideal of S and $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. In this setting, we can consider the subring of $R \times S$

$$R \bowtie^f J = \{(r, f(r) + j): r \in R \text{ and } j \in J\}$$

called the amalgamation of R with S along J with respect to f . This construction has been first introduced and studied by D'Anna, Finocchiaro, and Fontana in [6], [4]. In particular, if I is an ideal of R and $\text{id}_R: R \rightarrow R$ is the identity homomorphism on R , then $R \bowtie J = R \bowtie^{\text{id}_R} J = \{(r, r + j): r \in R \text{ and } j \in J\}$ is the amalgamated duplication of R along J (introduced and studied by D'Anna and Fontana in [5]). For all ideals I of R and ideals K of S , set:

$$\begin{aligned} I \bowtie^f J &= \{(r, f(r) + j): r \in I \text{ and } j \in J\}, \\ \overline{K}^f &= \{(r, f(r) + j): r \in R, j \in J \text{ and } f(r) + j \in K\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.8. Let R and S be a pair of rings, J be an ideal of S and $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Let I be an ideal of R and K be an ideal of S . The following statements hold:

- (1) If $I \bowtie^f J$ is a quasi n -ideal (or n -ideal) of $R \bowtie^f J$, then I is a quasi n -ideal (or n -ideal) of R . The converse is true if $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_S}$.
- (2) Assume that f is an epimorphism. Then the fact that $\overline{K}^f$ is a quasi n -ideal (or n -ideal) of $R \bowtie^f J$ implies that K is a quasi n -ideal (or n -ideal) of S . The converse holds provided that $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$.

Proof. (1) Assume that $I \bowtie^f J$ is a quasi n -ideal of $R \bowtie^f J$. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ and $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$. Then $(a, f(a))(b, f(b)) \in \sqrt{I \bowtie^f J}$ with $(a, f(a)) \notin \sqrt{0_{R \bowtie^f J}}$. This implies that $(b, f(b)) \in \sqrt{I \bowtie^f J}$ and hence $b \in \sqrt{I}$. Now, we will prove the converse under additional hypothesis that $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_S}$. Suppose that $(a, f(a) + j)(b, f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{I \bowtie^f J}$ for some $(a, f(a) + j) \notin \sqrt{0_{R \bowtie^f J}}$ and $(b, f(b) + j') \in R \bowtie^f J$. By hypothesis, we must have $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$. Therefore, $b \in \sqrt{I}$ and thus $(b, f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{I \bowtie^f J}$. Similarly, one can prove that if $I \bowtie^f J$ is an n -ideal of $R \bowtie^f J$, then I is an n -ideal of R , and the converse is true if $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_S}$.

(2) Let $x, y \in S$ with $x = f(a)$ and $y = f(b)$. Suppose that $xy \in \sqrt{K}$ and $x \notin \sqrt{0_S}$. So, $(a, f(a))(b, f(b)) \in \sqrt{K^f}$ and $(a, f(a)) \notin \sqrt{0_{R \bowtie^f J}}$. Since $\overline{K^f}$ is a quasi n -ideal, we then have $(b, f(b)) \in \sqrt{K^f}$ and so $y = f(b) \in \sqrt{K}$. For the converse, suppose that K is a quasi n -ideal of S and $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$. Let $(a, f(a) + j), (b, f(b) + j') \in R \bowtie^f J$ such that $(a, f(a) + j)(b, f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{K^f}$ and $(a, f(a) + j) \notin \sqrt{0_{R \bowtie^f J}}$. Then $(f(a) + j)(f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{K}$. The fact that $(a, f(a) + j) \notin \sqrt{0_{R \bowtie^f J}}$ ensures that $f(a) + j \notin \sqrt{0_S}$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $f(a) + j \in \sqrt{0_S}$. As f is an epimorphism, then there exists $c \in R$ such that $f(c) = j$. It is obvious that $c \in \sqrt{0_R}$ and hence $j \in \sqrt{0_S}$, which proves that $a^m \in \text{Ker}(f)$ for a positive integer m . Moreover, $a \in \sqrt{0_R}$ since $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$, that is, $(a, f(a) + j) \in \sqrt{0_{R \bowtie^f J}}$, a contradiction. We conclude that $(f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{K}$ since K is a quasi n -ideal of S . Thus, $\overline{K^f}$ is a quasi n -ideal of $R \bowtie^f J$. Similarly, one can prove that if $\overline{K^f}$ is an n -ideal of $R \bowtie^f J$, then K is an n -ideal of S , and the converse is true in the case, where $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. Let R be a ring and let I and J be ideals of R .

- (1) If $I \bowtie J$ is a quasi n -ideal (or n -ideal) of $R \bowtie J$, then I is a quasi n -ideal (or n -ideal) of R . The converse is true if $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$.
- (2) If $\bar{I} := \{(a, a + i) : a \in R, j \in J \text{ and } a + j \in I\}$ is a quasi n -ideal (or n -ideal) of $R \bowtie J$, then I is a quasi n -ideal (or n -ideal) of R . The converse is true if $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$.

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 2.8(1) fails if one deletes the hypothesis that $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_S}$.

Example 2.4. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$, where p and q are prime numbers, and let $J = p\mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$. It is clear that $I = 0(+) \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ is an n -ideal (and so is a quasi n -ideal) of R . However, $I \bowtie J$ is not a quasi n -ideal (and so is not an n -ideal). Indeed, $((0, \bar{1}), (p, \bar{1}))((1, \bar{0}), (1, \bar{0})) = ((0, \bar{1}), (p, \bar{1})) \in I \bowtie J$. But $((0, \bar{1}), (p, \bar{1})) \notin \sqrt{0_{R \bowtie J}}$ and $((1, \bar{0}), (1, \bar{0})) \notin \sqrt{I \bowtie J}$.

In the following example, we prove that the condition $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ cannot be discarded in the proof of the converse of Theorem 2.8(2).

Example 2.5. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}(+)\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$, where p and q are prime numbers, $S = \mathbb{Z}$, and let $J = p\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the canonical epimorphism $f: R \rightarrow S$ defined by $f(r, m) = r$. Note that $f^{-1}(J) = p\mathbb{Z}(+)\mathbb{Z}_{pq} \not\subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$. On the other hand, $K = (0)$ is an n -ideal of S . However, $\overline{K}^f$ is not a quasi n -ideal of $R \bowtie^f J$ because $((p, \bar{0}), 0)((1, \bar{0}), 1) \in \overline{K}^f$, $((p, \bar{0}), 0) \notin \sqrt{0_{R \bowtie^f J}}$ and $((1, \bar{0}), 1) \notin \sqrt{\overline{K}^f}$.

References

- [1] *D. D. Anderson, M. Winders*: Idealization of a module. *J. Commut. Algebra* *1* (2009), 3–56. zbl MR doi
- [2] *A. Badawi, U. Tekir, E. Yetkin*: On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* *51* (2014), 1163–1173. zbl MR doi
- [3] *G. Călugăreanu*: UN -rings. *J. Algebra Appl.* *15* (2016), Article ID 1650182, 9 pages. zbl MR doi
- [4] *M. D’Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana*: Amalgamated algebras along an ideal. *Commutative Algebra and Its Applications*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2009, pp. 155–172. zbl MR doi
- [5] *M. D’Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana*: Properties of chains of prime ideals in amalgamated algebras along an ideal. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* *214* (2010), 1633–1641. zbl MR doi
- [6] *M. D’Anna, M. Fontana*: An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: The basic properties. *J. Algebra Appl.* *6* (2007), 443–459. zbl MR doi
- [7] *L. Fuchs*: On quasi-primary ideals. *Acta Sci. Math.* *11* (1947), 174–183. zbl MR
- [8] *S. Hizem, A. Benhissi*: Nonnil-Noetherian rings and the SFT property. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* *41* (2011), 1483–1500. zbl MR doi
- [9] *R. Mohamadian*: r -ideals in commutative rings. *Turk. J. Math.* *39* (2015), 733–749. zbl MR doi
- [10] *M. Tamekkante, E. M. Bouba*: $(2, n)$ -ideals of commutative rings. *J. Algebra Appl.* *18* (2019), Article ID 1950103, 12 pages. zbl MR doi
- [11] *U. Tekir, S. Koc, K. H. Oral*: n -ideals of commutative rings. *Filomat* *31* (2017), 2933–2941. zbl MR doi
- [12] *U. Tekir, S. Koç, K. H. Oral, K. P. Shum*: On 2-absorbing quasi-primary ideals in commutative rings. *Commun. Math. Stat.* *4* (2016), 55–62. zbl MR doi

Authors’ addresses: Adam Anebri, Najib Mahdou, Laboratory of Modelling and Mathematical Structures, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez, Box 2202, University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco, e-mail: adam.anebri@usmba.ac.ma, mahdou@hotmail.com, Emel Aslankarayigit Uğurlu (corresponding author), Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey, e-mail: emelakyugurlu@gmail.com.