

Generalizations of 2-absorbing and 2-absorbing Primary Submodules

Hojjat Mostafanasab*, Ünsal Tekir†, Ece Yetkin Çelikel‡, Emel A. Uğurlu §, Gülşen Ulucak¶ and Ahmad Yousifean Darani**

Abstract

In this study, we introduce ϕ -2-absorbing and ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings generalizing the concepts of 2-absorbing and 2-absorbing primary submodules. Let $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function where $S(M)$ denotes the set of all submodules of M and N a proper submodule of an R -module M . We will say that N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ and $abm \notin \phi(N)$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$ and N is said to be a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M whenever if $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ and $abm \notin \phi(N)$, then $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$. We investigate many properties of these new types of submodules and establish some characterizations for ϕ -2-absorbing and ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodules of multiplication modules.

Keywords: ϕ -prime submodule, ϕ -primary submodule, 2-absorbing primary submodule, weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule, ϕ -2-absorbing submodule, ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule.

2000 AMS Classification: Primary 13A15; Secondary 13F05, 13G05

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative ring with a nonzero identity and M denotes a unitary R -module. We will denote by $(N :_R M)$ the residual of N by M , that is, the set of all $r \in R$ such that $rM \subseteq N$. The annihilator of M which is denoted by $Ann_R(M)$ is $(0 :_R M)$. A *prime* (resp. *primary*) submodule is a proper submodule N of M with the property that for $a \in R$ and $m \in M$, $am \in N$ implies that $m \in N$ or $a \in (N :_R M)$ (resp. $m \in N$ or $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$).

*Department of Mathematics and Applications, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, P. O. Box 179, Ardabil, Iran, Email: h.mostafanasab@uma.ac.ir

†Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, Ziverbey, Goztepe, Istanbul 34722, Turkey, Email: utekir@marmara.edu.tr

‡Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey, Email: yetkinece@gmail.com

§Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, Ziverbey, Goztepe, Istanbul 34722, Turkey, Email: emel.aslankarayigit@marmara.edu.tr

¶Department of Mathematics, Gebze Technical University, Gebze, Kocaeli Email: gulsenulucak58@gmail.com

||Corresponding Author. Email: gulsenulucak58@gmail.com

**Department of Mathematics and Applications, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, P. O. Box 179, Ardabil, Iran, Email: yousifean@uma.ac.ir

As prime ideals (submodules) have an important role in ring (module) theory, several authors generalized these concepts in different ways (see [?]-[?], [?]-[?], [?], [?]-[?]). Weakly prime submodules were introduced by Ebrahimi et. al. in [?]. A proper submodule N of M is *weakly prime* if for $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $0 \neq am \in N$, either $m \in N$ or $a \in (N :_R M)$. Behboodi and Koochi in [?] defined weakly prime submodules in a different way. In their paper, a proper submodule N of an R -module M is said to be *weakly prime* when $abm \in N$ for $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. The concepts of ϕ -prime and ϕ -primary ideals are introduced in [?], [?], and the generalizations of these concepts are studied in [?]. Let $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function where $S(M)$ is the set of all submodules of M . A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called ϕ -prime (resp. ϕ -primary) if $a \in R$, $m \in M$ with $am \in N$ and $am \notin \phi(N)$, then $a \in (N :_R M)$ or $m \in N$ (resp. $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ or $m \in N$).

The concept of 2-absorbing ideal (resp. weakly 2-absorbing ideal) is introduced by Badawi in [?] (resp. Badawi and Darani in [?]) as a different generalization of prime ideal (resp. weakly prime ideal). According to [?] and [?], a nonzero proper ideal I of R is a *2-absorbing ideal* (resp. weakly 2-absorbing ideal) of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$ (resp. $0 \neq abc \in I$), then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. Then introducing 2-absorbing submodules (resp. weakly 2-absorbing submodules) of a module, Darani [?] generalized the concept of 2-absorbing ideals (resp. weakly 2-absorbing ideals) to submodules of a module over a commutative ring as following: Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . N is said to be a *2-absorbing submodule* (resp. weakly 2-absorbing submodule) of M if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ (resp. $0 \neq abm \in N$), then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. Badawi et. al. [?] introduced the concept of 2-absorbing primary ideals, where a proper ideal I of R is called *2-absorbing primary* if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$. Then ϕ -2-absorbing primary ideals of a commutative ring which are a generalization of 2-absorbing primary ideals are presented in [?]. Let $\phi : S(R) \rightarrow S(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function where $S(R)$ is the set of all ideals of R . According to [?], a nonzero proper ideal I of R is called a *ϕ -2-absorbing primary ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $abc \in I$ and $abc \notin \phi(I)$ then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$. The concept of 2-absorbing primary submodules is studied in [?] as a generalization of 2-absorbing primary ideals. A proper submodule N is said to be a *2-absorbing primary submodule* (resp. weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule) of M if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ (resp. $0 \neq abm \in N$), then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$.

An R -module M is called a *multiplication module* if every submodule N of M has the form IM for some ideal I of R . In fact $I = (N :_R M)$ which is called a presentation ideal of N . Let N and K be submodules of a multiplication R -module M with $N = I_1M$ and $K = I_2M$ for some ideals I_1 and I_2 of R . The product of N and K denoted by NK is defined by $NK = I_1I_2M$. Then by [?, Theorem 3.4], the product of N and K is independent of presentations of N and K . Moreover, for $a, b \in M$, by ab , we mean the product of Ra and Rb . Clearly, NK is a submodule of M and $NK \subseteq N \cap K$ (see [?]). Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . Then the M -radical of N , denoted by $M\text{-rad}(N)$, is defined

to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N . If M has no prime submodule containing N , then we say $M\text{-rad}(N) = M$. It is shown in [?, Theorem 2.12] that if N is a proper submodule of a multiplication R -module M , then $M\text{-rad}(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)M}$.

In this work, our aim is to extend the concept of 2-absorbing submodules to ϕ -2-absorbing submodules in completely different way from [?] and also to extend 2-absorbing primary submodules to ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings. We discuss on the relations among the concepts which are defined above and ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodules, and investigate some characterizations of them in some special multiplication modules. We prove that a submodule N of an R -module M is a ϕ -2-absorbing (resp. ϕ -2-absorbing primary) submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly 2-absorbing (resp. weakly 2-absorbing primary) submodule of $M/\phi(N)$. Let M_1 be an R_1 -module, M_2 be an R_2 -module, and let $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Let $\psi_i : S(M_i) \rightarrow S(M_i) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ($i = 1, 2$) be function, and let $\phi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2$. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times M_2$ for some proper submodule N_1 of M_1 . Then we show that the following conditions hold:

- (1) If $\psi_2(M_2) = M_2$, then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if N_1 is a ψ_1 -2-absorbing submodule of M_1 .
- (2) If $\psi_2(M_2) \neq M_2$, then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if N_1 is a 2-absorbing submodule of M_1 .

Also, it is shown that if N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of an R -module M that is not 2-absorbing primary, then $(N :_R M)^2 N \subseteq \phi(N)$. Moreover, if M is multiplication, then $N^3 \subseteq \phi(N)$. Finally, we find conditions under which N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if $IJK \subseteq N$ and $IJK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some ideals I, J of R and a submodule K of M implies that either $IJ \subseteq (N :_R M)$ or $IK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $JK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$.

2. ϕ -2-absorbing and ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodules

2.1. Definition. Let $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function where $S(M)$ is the set of all submodules of M . Let N be a proper submodule of M .

- (1) N is called a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ and $abm \notin \phi(N)$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.
- (2) N is called a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ and $abm \notin \phi(N)$, then $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.

We can define the following special functions ϕ_α as follows: Let N be a ϕ_α -primary submodule of a multiplication R -module M . Then

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \phi_\emptyset(N) = \emptyset & \text{primary submodule} \\
 \phi_0(N) = 0 & \text{weakly primary submodule} \\
 \phi_2(N) = N^2 & \text{almost primary submodule} \\
 \dots & \\
 \phi_n(N) = N^n & n\text{-almost primary submodule} \\
 \phi_\omega(N) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N^n & \omega\text{-primary submodule.}
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, let N be a ϕ_α -2-absorbing (resp. ϕ_α -2-absorbing primary) submodule of a multiplication R -module M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\emptyset(N) = \emptyset & \quad 2\text{-absorbing (resp. 2-absorbing primary) submodule} \\ \phi_0(N) = 0 & \quad \text{weakly 2-absorbing (resp. weakly 2-absorbing primary) submodule} \\ \phi_2(N) = N^2 & \quad \text{almost 2-absorbing (resp. almost 2-absorbing primary) submodule} \\ \dots & \\ \phi_n(N) = N^n & \quad n\text{-almost 2-absorbing (resp. 2-absorbing primary) submodule} \\ \phi_\omega(N) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N^n & \quad \omega\text{-2-absorbing (resp. } \omega\text{-2-absorbing primary) submodule} \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper, ϕ denotes a function from $S(M)$ to $S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Since $N - \phi(N) = N - (N \cap \phi(N))$ for any submodule N of M , without loss generality throughout assume that $\phi(N) \subseteq N$. For any two functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$, we say $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$ if $\psi_1(N) \subseteq \psi_2(N)$ for each $N \in S(M)$. Thus clearly we have the following order: $\phi_\emptyset \leq \phi_0 \leq \phi_\omega \leq \dots \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq \phi_n \leq \dots \leq \phi_2 \leq \phi_1$.

2.2. Lemma. *Let N be a proper submodule of M and $\psi_1, \psi_2 : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be two functions with $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$. If N is a ψ_1 -2-absorbing (resp. ψ_1 -2-absorbing primary) submodule of M , then N is a ψ_2 -2-absorbing (resp. ψ_2 -2-absorbing primary) submodule of M .*

Proof. Suppose that N is a ψ_1 -2-absorbing submodule of M and $a, b \in R, m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ and $abm \notin \psi_2(N)$. Then $abm \notin \psi_1(N)$. Since N is ψ_1 -2-absorbing (resp. ψ_1 -2-absorbing primary) submodule, we are done. \square

2.3. Theorem. *Let N be a proper submodule of M . Then*

- (1) N is a ϕ -prime submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M .
- (2) If M is multiplication and N is a ϕ -primary submodule of M , then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M .
- (3) Let M be a multiplication R -module. N is a 2-absorbing submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is a weakly 2-absorbing submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is a ω -2-absorbing submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is an $(n+1)$ -almost 2-absorbing submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is an n -almost 2-absorbing submodule of M for all $n \geq 2 \Rightarrow N$ is an almost 2-absorbing submodule of M .
- (4) Let M be a multiplication R -module. N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is a weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is a ω -2-absorbing primary submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is an $(n+1)$ -almost 2-absorbing primary submodule of $M \Rightarrow N$ is an n -almost 2-absorbing primary submodule of M for all $n \geq 2 \Rightarrow N$ is an almost 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .
- (5) Let $M\text{-rad}(N) = N$. Then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M .
- (6) If N is an idempotent submodule of a multiplication R -module M , then N is a ω -2-absorbing submodule of M , and N is an n -almost 2-absorbing submodule of M for every $n \geq 2$.
- (7) Let M be a multiplication R -module. Then N is an n -almost 2-absorbing (resp. n -almost 2-absorbing primary) submodule of M for all $n \geq 2$ if and

only if N is a ω -2-absorbing (resp. ω -2-absorbing primary) submodule of M .

Proof. (1) It is obvious from Definition ??.

(2) Let $abm \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ for some $a, b \in R$ and some $m \in M$. Assume that $bm \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$. Then $bm \notin N$ and so $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ as N is a ϕ -primary submodule. Therefore $am \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M = M\text{-rad}(N)$. Consequently, N is ϕ -2-absorbing primary.

(3) and (4) are clear from Lemma ??.

(5) The claim is clear.

(6) Suppose that N is an idempotent submodule of M . Then $N = N^n$ for all $n > 0$, and so $\phi_\omega(N) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty N^n = N$. Thus N is an ω -2-absorbing submodule of M . By (3), we conclude that N is an n -almost 2-absorbing submodule of M for all $n \geq 2$.

(7) Suppose that N is an n -almost 2-absorbing (resp. n -almost 2-absorbing primary) submodule of M for all $n \geq 2$. Let $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ but $abm \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty N^n$. Hence $abm \notin N^n$ for some $n \geq 2$. Since N is n -almost 2-absorbing (resp. n -almost 2-absorbing primary) for all $n \geq 2$, this implies either $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $bm \in N$ or $am \in N$ (resp. $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $am \in M - \text{rad}(N)$), we are done. The converse is clear from (3).(rep. from (4)). \square

2.4. Theorem. *Let N be a proper submodule of M . Then*

- (1) N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly 2-absorbing submodule of $M/\phi(N)$.
- (2) N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule of $M/\phi(N)$.
- (3) N is a ϕ -prime submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly prime submodule of $M/\phi(N)$.
- (4) N is a ϕ -primary submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly primary submodule of $M/\phi(N)$.

Proof. (1) If $\phi(N) = \emptyset$, then there is nothing to prove. Assume that $\phi(N) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $\phi(N) \neq ab(m + \phi(N)) = abm + \phi(N) \in N/\phi(N)$. Then $abm \in N$, but $abm \notin \phi(N)$. Hence either $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $bm \in N$ or $am \in N$. So $ab \in (N/\phi(N) : M/\phi(N))$ or $b(m + \phi(N)) \in N/\phi(N)$ or $a(m + \phi(N)) \in N/\phi(N)$, so we are done.

Conversely, let $abm \in N$ and $abm \notin \phi(N)$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then $\phi(N) \neq ab(m + \phi(N)) \in N/\phi(N)$. Hence $ab \in (N/\phi(N) : M/\phi(N))$ or $b(m + \phi(N)) \in N/\phi(N)$ or $a(m + \phi(N)) \in N/\phi(N)$. So $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $bm \in N$ or $am \in N$. Thus N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M .

(2) Let $\phi(N) \neq ab(m + \phi(N)) = abm + \phi(N) \in N/\phi(N)$. Then $abm \in N$, but $abm \notin \phi(N)$. Hence either $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. So $ab \in (N :_R M)/\phi(N)$ or $b(m + \phi(N)) \in M\text{-rad}(N)/\phi(N)$ or $a(m + \phi(N)) \in M\text{-rad}(N)/\phi(N)$. Since $M\text{-rad}(N)/\phi(N) = M/\phi(N)\text{-rad}(N/\phi(N))$, we are done. The converse can be easily shown with the previous manner.

Similarly, one can easily prove (3) and (4). \square

2.5. Corollary. *Let N be a proper submodule of a multiplication R -module M and $n \geq 2$. Then*

- (1) *N is a ϕ_n -2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly 2-absorbing submodule of M/N^n .*
- (2) *N is a ϕ_n -2-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule of M/N^n .*
- (3) *N is a ϕ_n -prime submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly prime submodule of M/N^n .*
- (4) *N is a ϕ_n -primary submodule of M if and only if $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly primary submodule of M/N^n .*

Proof. Since $\phi_n(N) = N^n$, it is direct results of Theorem ?? □

2.6. Definition. Let N be a proper submodule of a multiplication R -module M and $n \geq 2$.

- (1) N is said to be n -potent 2-absorbing whenever if $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N^n$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $bm \in N$ or $am \in N$.
- (2) N is said to be n -potent 2-absorbing primary whenever if $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N^n$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$.

2.7. Proposition. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. Then the following statements are satisfied:*

- (1) Let N be an n -almost 2-absorbing primary submodule of M for some $n \geq 2$. If N is k -potent 2-absorbing primary for some $k \leq n$, then N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .
- (2) Let N be an n -almost 2-absorbing submodule of M for some $n \geq 2$. If N is k -potent 2-absorbing for some $k \leq n$, then N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Proof. (1) Suppose that N is an n -almost 2-absorbing primary submodule. Let $abm \in N$ for some $a, b \in R, m \in M$. If $abm \notin N^k$, then $abm \notin N^n$. So we are done as N is an n -almost 2-absorbing primary submodule. So assume that $abm \in N^k$. Hence we get either $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ as N is a k -potent 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .

(2) The proof can be obtained by a similar argument in (1). □

2.8. Lemma. ([?, Corollary 1.3]) *Let M and M' be R -modules with $f : M \rightarrow M'$ an R -module epimorphism. If N is a submodule of M containing $\text{Ker}(f)$, then $f(M\text{-rad}(N)) = M'\text{-rad}(f(N))$.*

2.9. Theorem. *Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism of R -modules M and M' and let $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\phi' : S(M') \rightarrow S(M') \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be functions. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) If N' is a ϕ' -2-absorbing primary submodule of M' and $\phi(f^{-1}(N')) = f^{-1}(\phi'(N'))$, then $f^{-1}(N')$ is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M .
- (2) If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M containing $\text{Ker}(f)$ and $\phi'(f(N)) = f(\phi(N))$, then $f(N)$ is a ϕ' -2-absorbing primary submodule of M' .

- (3) If N' is a ϕ' -2-absorbing submodule of M' and $\phi(f^{-1}(N')) = f^{-1}(\phi'(N'))$, then $f^{-1}(N')$ is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M .
- (4) If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M containing $\text{Ker}(f)$ and $\phi'(f(N)) = f(\phi(N))$, then $f(N)$ is a ϕ' -2-absorbing submodule of M' .

Proof. (1) Since f is epimorphism, $f^{-1}(N')$ is a proper submodule of M . Let $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in f^{-1}(N')$ and $abm \notin f^{-1}(\phi'(N'))$. Since $abm \in f^{-1}(N')$, $abf(m) \in N'$. Also, $\phi(f^{-1}(N')) = f^{-1}(\phi'(N'))$ implies that $abf(m) \notin \phi'(N')$. Thus $abf(m) \in N' \setminus \phi'(N')$. Then $ab \in (N' :_R M')$ or $af(m) \in M'\text{-rad}(N')$ or $bf(m) \in M'\text{-rad}(N')$. Thus $ab \in (f^{-1}(N') :_R M)$ or $am \in f^{-1}(M'\text{-rad}(N'))$ or $bm \in f^{-1}(M'\text{-rad}(N'))$. Since $f^{-1}(M'\text{-rad}(N')) \subseteq M\text{-rad}(f^{-1}(N'))$, we conclude that $f^{-1}(N')$ is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M .

(2) Let $a, b \in R$ and $m' \in M'$ such that $abm' \in f(N) \setminus \phi'(f(N))$. Since f is epimorphism, there exists $m \in M$ such that $m' = f(m)$. Therefore $f(abm) \in f(N)$ and so $abm \in N$ as $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq N$. Since $\phi'(f(N)) = f(\phi(N))$, we have $abm \notin \phi(N)$. Hence $abm \in N \setminus \phi(N)$. It implies that $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Thus $ab \in (f(N) :_R M')$ or $am' \in f(M\text{-rad}(N))$ or $bm' \in f(M\text{-rad}(N))$. From Lemma ??, we are done.

(3), (4) can be easily obtained similar to (1) and (2). \square

2.10. Corollary. *Let K, N be submodules of a multiplication R -module M with $K \subseteq N$ and $n \geq 2$.*

- (1) If N is a ϕ_n -2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then N/K is a ϕ_n -2-absorbing primary submodule of M/K .
- (2) If N is a ϕ_n -2-absorbing submodule of M , then N/K is a ϕ_n -2-absorbing submodule of M/K .
- (3) If N is a ϕ_ω -2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then N/K is a ϕ_ω -2-absorbing primary submodule of M/K .
- (4) If N is a ϕ_ω -2-absorbing submodule of M , then N/K is a ϕ_ω -2-absorbing submodule of M/K .

Proof. Since the canonical epimorphism $f : M \rightarrow M/K$ satisfies the equalities $\phi_n(f(N)) = \phi_n(N/K) = (N/K)^n = N^n/K = \phi_n(N)/K = f(\phi_n(N))$, and $\phi_\omega(f(N)) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (N/K)^n = (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N^n)/K = f(\phi_\omega(N))$, we are done. \square

Let \mathcal{S} be a multiplicatively closed subset of R . It is well-known that each submodule of $\mathcal{S}^{-1}M$ is of the form $\mathcal{S}^{-1}N$ for some submodule N of M . Let $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function and define $\phi_{\mathcal{S}} : S(\mathcal{S}^{-1}M) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{S}^{-1}M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ by $\phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}N) = \mathcal{S}^{-1}\phi(N)$ (and $\phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}N) = \emptyset$ when $\phi(N) = \emptyset$) for every submodule N of M . We also know that if N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then $\mathcal{S}^{-1}N$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of $\mathcal{S}^{-1}M$ by Theorem 2.11 of [?]. In the next theorem, we want to generalize this fact to ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodules of M .

2.11. Theorem. *Let \mathcal{S} be a multiplicatively closed subset of R .*

- (1) If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M and $\mathcal{S}^{-1}N \neq \mathcal{S}^{-1}M$, then $\mathcal{S}^{-1}N$ is a $\phi_{\mathcal{S}}$ -2-absorbing primary submodule of $\mathcal{S}^{-1}M$.

- (2) If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M and $\mathcal{S}^{-1}N \neq \mathcal{S}^{-1}M$, then $\mathcal{S}^{-1}N$ is a $\phi_{\mathcal{S}}$ -2-absorbing submodule of $\mathcal{S}^{-1}M$.

Proof. (1) Let $\frac{a_1 a_2 m}{s_1 s_2 s} \in \mathcal{S}^{-1}N$ and $\frac{a_1 a_2 m}{s_1 s_2 s} \notin \phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}N)$. Since $\phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}N) = \mathcal{S}^{-1}\phi(N)$, we have $ua_1a_2m \in N$ and $ua_1a_2m \notin \phi(N)$ for some $u \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence $ua_1m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ua_2m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $a_1a_2 \in (N :_R M)$, so we conclude that $\frac{a_1 m}{s_1 s} = \frac{ua_1m}{us_1s} \in \mathcal{S}^{-1}(M\text{-rad}(N)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{-1}M\text{-rad}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}N)$ or $\frac{a_2 m}{s_2 s} = \frac{ua_2m}{us_2s} \in \mathcal{S}^{-1}M\text{-rad}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}N)$ or $\frac{a_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{a_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2} \in \mathcal{S}^{-1}(N :_R M) \subseteq (\mathcal{S}^{-1}N :_{\mathcal{S}^{-1}R} \mathcal{S}^{-1}M)$.

- (2) Similar to (1), it is easily obtained. \square

2.12. Definition. Let N be a proper submodule of M and $a, b \in R, m \in M$.

- (1) If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule, $abm \in \phi(N)$, $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, $am \notin N$ and $bm \notin N$, then (a, b, m) is called a ϕ -triple-zero of N .
- (2) If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule, $abm \in \phi(N)$, $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, $am \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $bm \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$, then (a, b, m) is called a ϕ -primary triple-zero of N .

2.13. Remark. Note that if N is a ϕ -2-absorbing (resp. ϕ -2-absorbing primary) submodule of M which is not 2-absorbing (resp. 2-absorbing primary), then there exists (a, b, m) a ϕ -triple-zero (resp. ϕ -primary triple-zero) of N for some $a, b \in R, m \in M$.

2.14. Proposition. Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M and $a, b \in R, m \in M$. Then (a, b, m) is a ϕ -triple-zero of N if and only if $(a, b, m + \phi(N))$ is a triple-zero of $N/\phi(N)$.

Proof. Suppose that (a, b, m) is a ϕ -triple-zero of N . Hence $abm \in \phi(N)$ but $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, $am \notin N$ and $bm \notin N$. It implies that $ab \notin (N/\phi(N) :_R M/\phi(N))$, $a(m + \phi(N)) \notin N/\phi(N)$ and $b(m + \phi(N)) \notin N/\phi(N)$. Since $N/\phi(N)$ is a weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule of M by Theorem ??, so we conclude that $ab(m + \phi(N)) = \phi(N)$. Thus $(a, b, m + \phi(N))$ is a triple-zero of $N/\phi(N)$. The converse part is easily obtained by the same argument. \square

2.15. Proposition. Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M and $a, b \in R, m \in M$. Then (a, b, m) is a ϕ -primary triple-zero of N if and only if $(a, b, m + \phi(N))$ is a triple-zero of $N/\phi(N)$.

Proof. One can easily verify similar to the proof of Proposition ?? \square

2.16. Theorem. Let M_1 be an R_1 -module, M_2 be an R_2 -module, and let $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Let $\psi_i : S(M_i) \rightarrow S(M_i) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ($i = 1, 2$) be function, and let $\phi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2$. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times M_2$ for some proper submodule N_1 of M_1 .

- (1) If $\psi_2(M_2) = M_2$, then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if N_1 is a ψ_1 -2-absorbing submodule of M_1 .
- (2) If $\psi_2(M_2) \neq M_2$, then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if N_1 is a 2-absorbing submodule of M_1 .

Proof. (1) Suppose that N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M . First we show that N_1 is a ψ_1 -2-absorbing submodule of M_1 independently whether $\psi_2(M_2) = M_2$ or $\psi_2(M_2) \neq M_2$. Let $a_1b_1m_1 \in N_1$ $\psi_1(N_1)$ for some $a_1, b_1 \in R_1$ and $m_1 \in M_1$.

Then $(a_1, 1)(b_1, 1)(m_1, m) \in (N_1 \times M_2) \setminus (\psi_1(N_1) \times \psi_2(M_2)) = N \setminus \phi(N)$ for any $m \in M_2$. Since N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M , we get either $(a_1, 1)(b_1, 1) \in ((N_1 \times M_2) : M_1 \times M_2)$ or $(a_1, 1)(m_1, m) \in (N_1 \times M_2)$ or $(b_1, 1)(m_1, m) \in (N_1 \times M_2)$. So clearly we conclude that $a_1 b_1 \in (N_1 : M_1)$ or $a_1 m_1 \in N_1$ or $b_1 m_1 \in N_1$. Therefore, N_1 is obtained as a ψ_1 -2-absorbing submodule of M_1 . Conversely, suppose that N_1 is ψ_1 -2-absorbing submodule and $\psi_2(M_2) = M_2$. Let $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $b = (b_1, b_2) \in R_1 \times R_2$ and $m = (m_1, m_2) \in M$ such that $abm \in N \setminus \phi(N)$. Since $\psi_2(M_2) = M_2$, we get $a_1 b_1 m_1 \in N_1 \setminus \psi_1(N_1)$ and this implies that either $a_1 b_1 \in (N_1 : M_1)$ or $a_1 m_1 \in N_1$ or $b_1 m_1 \in N_1$. Thus either $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$.

(2) Suppose that $\psi_2(M_2) \neq M_2$ and N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M . Then there is an element $m_2 \in M_2 \setminus \psi_2(M_2)$. Assume that N_1 is not a 2-absorbing submodule of M_1 . As it is shown in part (1), N_1 is a ψ_1 -2-absorbing submodule of M_1 . Hence there is a ψ_1 -triple-zero (a_1, b_1, m_1) for some $a_1, b_1 \in R_1$ and $m_1 \in M_1$ by Remark ???. So $(a_1, 1)(b_1, 1)(m_1, m_2) \in (N_1 \times M_2) \setminus (\psi_1(N_1) \times \psi_2(M_2)) = (N_1 \times M_2) \setminus \phi(N_1 \times M_2)$ which clearly implies $a_1 b_1 \in (N_1 : M_1)$ or $a_1 m_1 \in N_1$ or $b_1 m_1 \in N_1$, a contradiction. Thus N_1 is a 2-absorbing submodule of M_1 . Conversely, if N_1 is a 2-absorbing submodule of M_1 , then $N = N_1 \times M_2$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M . Hence N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M for any ϕ . \square

2.17. Theorem. *Let M_1 be an R_1 -module, M_2 be an R_2 -module, and let $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Let $\psi_i : S(M_i) \rightarrow S(M_i) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ($i = 1, 2$) be function, and let $\phi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2$. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times M_2$ for any proper submodule N_1 of M_1 .*

- (1) If $\psi_2(M_2) = M_2$, then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if N_1 is a ψ_1 -2-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 .
- (2) If $\psi_2(M_2) \neq M_2$, then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if N_1 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 .

Proof. (1) It can be easily shown by using a similar argument in the proof of Theorem ???.

(2) Assume that N_1 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 . Then $N = N_1 \times M_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M by Theorem 2.28 of [?]. Hence N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M for any ϕ . The remaining of this proof is similar to Theorem ???.

2.18. Proposition. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and let a be an element of R such that $aM \neq M$. Suppose that $(0 :_M a) \subseteq aM$. Then aM is an almost 2-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if it is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Assume that aM is an almost 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . Let $x, y \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $xym \in aM$. We show that $xm \in M\text{-rad}(aM)$ or $ym \in M\text{-rad}(aM)$ or $xy \in (aM :_R M)$. If $xym \notin a^2M$, then there is nothing to prove since aM is almost 2-absorbing primary. So assume that $xym \in a^2M$. Note that $(x + a)ym \in aM$. If $(x + a)ym \notin a^2M$, then $(x + a)m \in M\text{-rad}(aM)$ or $ym \in M\text{-rad}(aM)$ or $(x + a)y \in (aM :_R M)$. Hence $xm \in M\text{-rad}(aM)$ or $ym \in M\text{-rad}(aM)$ or $xy \in (aM :_R M)$. Therefore, assume that $(x + a)ym \in a^2M$.

Hence $xym \in a^2M$ gives $aym \in a^2M$. Then, there exists $m' \in M$ such that $aym = a^2m'$, and so $am' - ym \in (0 :_M a) \subseteq aM$. Consequently, $ym \in aM$ which shows that aM is 2-absorbing primary. \square

A commutative ring R is called a *von Neumann regular ring* (or an *absolutely flat ring*) if for any $a \in R$ there exists an $x \in R$ with $a^2x = a$, equivalently, $I = I^2$ for every ideal I of R .

2.19. Proposition. *Let R be a von Neumann regular ring, M an R -module and N be a submodule of M .*

- (1) N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M if and only if $e_1e_2m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ for some idempotent elements $e_1, e_2 \in R$ and some $m \in M$ implies that either $e_1m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $e_2m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $e_1e_2 \in (N :_R M)$.
- (2) If M is multiplication, then N is a ω -2-absorbing (ω -2-absorbing primary) submodule of M .

Proof. (1) Notice the fact that any principal (finitely generated) ideal of a von Neumann regular ring R is generated by an idempotent element. On the other hand N is 2-absorbing primary if and only if $(Ra)(Rb)m \subseteq N$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that $(Ra)m \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $(Rb)m \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $(Ra)(Rb) \subseteq (N :_R M)$.

(2) It is clear that N is idempotent, now see Theorem ??(6). \square

If N is ϕ -primary submodule, $am \in \phi(N)$, $a \notin \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ and $m \notin N$, then (a, m) is called a ϕ -primary twin-zero of N .

2.20. Theorem. *Let N be a ϕ -primary submodule of M and suppose that (a, m) is a ϕ -primary twin-zero of N for some $a \in R$, $m \in M$. Then*

- (1) $aN \subseteq \phi(N)$.
- (2) $(N :_R M)m \subseteq \phi(N)$.
- (3) $(N :_R M)N \subseteq \phi(N)$.
- (4) $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = \sqrt{(\phi(N) :_R M)}$.

Proof. (1) Assume that $aN \not\subseteq \phi(N)$. Then there exists $n \in N$ with $an \notin \phi(N)$. Hence $a(m+n) \notin \phi(N)$. Since $a(m+n) \in N$ and $a \notin \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$, we deduce that $m+n \in N$ as N is a ϕ -primary submodule of M . So $m \in N$, which contradicts our hypothesis. Thus $aN \subseteq \phi(N)$.

(2) Let $xm \notin \phi(N)$ for some $x \in (N :_R M)$. Then $(a+x)m \notin \phi(N)$ as $am \in \phi(N)$. Since $xm \in N$, we get $(a+x)m \in N$. Since $m \notin N$, we have that $a+x \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$. Hence $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ which contradicts the assumption that (a, m) is ϕ -primary twin-zero.

(3) Assume that $(N :_R M)N \not\subseteq \phi(N)$. Hence there are $x \in (N :_R M)$ and $n \in N$ such that $xn \notin \phi(N)$. By parts (1) and (2), $(a+x)(m+n) \in N \setminus \phi(N)$. So either $a+x \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ or $m+n \in N$. Thus we have either $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ or $m \in N$, a contradiction.

(4) By part (3) we have

$$(N :_R M)(N :_R M) \subseteq ((N :_R M)N :_R M) \subseteq (\phi(N) :_R M).$$

Therefore $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = \sqrt{(\phi(N) :_R M)}$. \square

2.21. Corollary. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. If N is a ϕ -primary submodule of M that is not primary, then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $N^2 \subseteq \phi(N)$.
- (2) $M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N))$.

Proof. (1) It is a direct consequence of Theorem ??(3).
 (2) By Theorem ??(4), we have that

$$M\text{-rad}(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)M} = \sqrt{(\phi(N) :_R M)M} = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N)).$$

□

A submodule N of an R -module M is called a nilpotent submodule if $(N :_R M)^k N = 0$ for some positive integer k (see [?]), and we say that $m \in M$ is nilpotent if Rm is a nilpotent submodule of M .

2.22. Corollary. *Let N be a weakly primary submodule of an R -module M that is not primary. Then*

- (1) N is nilpotent.
- (2) $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M)}$.

Assume that $\text{Nil}(M)$ is the set of nilpotent elements of M . If M is faithful, then $\text{Nil}(M)$ is a submodule of M and if M is faithful multiplication, then $\text{Nil}(M) = \text{Nil}(R)M = \bigcap Q$, where the intersection runs over all prime submodules of M , [?, Theorem 6].

2.23. Corollary. *Let N be a weakly primary submodule of a multiplication R -module M . If N is not primary, then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $N^2 = 0$.
- (2) $M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(\{0\})$. If in addition M is faithful, then $M\text{-rad}(N) = \text{Nil}(M)$.

2.24. Theorem. *Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing (resp. 2-absorbing primary) submodule of M and suppose that (a, b, m) is a ϕ -triple-zero (resp. ϕ -primary triple-zero) of N for some $a, b \in R, m \in M$. Then*

- (1) $abN \subseteq \phi(N)$.
- (2) $a(N :_R M)m \subseteq \phi(N)$.
- (3) $b(N :_R M)m \subseteq \phi(N)$.
- (4) $(N :_R M)^2 m \subseteq \phi(N)$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that N is a ϕ -2-absorbing (resp. 2-absorbing primary) submodule of M and $abN \not\subseteq \phi(N)$. Then there exists $n \in N$ with $abn \notin \phi(N)$. Hence $ab(m+n) \notin \phi(N)$. Since $ab(m+n) = abm + abn \in N$ and $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, we conclude that $a(m+n) \in N$ or $b(m+n) \in N$ (resp. $a(m+n) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $b(m+n) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$). So $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ (resp. $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$), which contradicts our hypothesis. Thus $abN \subseteq \phi(N)$.

(2) Let $axm \notin \phi(N)$ for some $x \in (N :_R M)$. Then $a(b+x)m \notin \phi(N)$ as $abm \in \phi(N)$. Since $xm \in N$, we obtain $a(b+x)m \in N$. Then $am \in N$ or $(b+x)m \in N$ or $a(b+x) \in (N :_R M)$ (resp. $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $(b+x)m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $a(b+x) \in (N :_R M)$). Hence $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$

(resp. $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$) which contradicts the assumption that (a, b, m) is ϕ -triple-zero (resp. ϕ -primary triple-zero).

(3) The proof is similar to part (2).

(4) Assume that $x_1x_2m \notin \phi(N)$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in (N :_R M)$. Then by parts (2) and (3), $(a+x_1)(b+x_2)m \notin \phi(N)$. Clearly $(a+x_1)(b+x_2)m \in N$. Then $(a+x_1)m \in N$ or $(b+x_2)m \in N$ or $(a+x_1)(b+x_2) \in (N :_R M)$ (resp. $(a+x_1)m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $(b+x_2)m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $(a+x_1)(b+x_2) \in (N :_R M)$). Therefore $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$ (resp. $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$) which is a contradiction. Consequently, $(N :_R M)^2m \subseteq \phi(N)$. \square

2.25. Theorem. *If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M that is not 2-absorbing primary, then $(N :_R M)^2N \subseteq \phi(N)$.*

Proof. Suppose that N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M that is not 2-absorbing primary. Then there exists a ϕ -primary triple-zero (a, b, m) of N for some $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$. Assume that $(N :_R M)^2N \not\subseteq \phi(N)$. Hence there are $x_1, x_2 \in (N :_R M)$ and $n \in N$ such that $x_1x_2n \notin \phi(N)$. By Theorem ??, we get $(a+x_1)(b+x_2)(m+n) \in N \setminus \phi(N)$. So $(a+x_1)(m+n) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $(b+x_1)(m+n) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $(a+x_1)(b+x_2) \in (N :_R M)$. Therefore $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$, a contradiction. \square

2.26. Corollary. *If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of a multiplication R -module M that is not 2-absorbing primary, then $N^3 \subseteq \phi(N)$.*

2.27. Corollary. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M where $\phi \leq \phi_3$, then N is a ω -2-absorbing (ω -2-absorbing primary) submodule of M .*

2.28. Corollary. *Let N be a weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule of M that is not 2-absorbing primary. Then*

- (1) N is nilpotent.
- (2) If M is a multiplication module, then $N^3 = 0$.

2.29. Theorem. *Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . If N is not 2-absorbing primary, then*

- (1) $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = \sqrt{(\phi(N) :_R M)}$.
- (2) If M is multiplication, then $M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N))$.

Proof. (1) Assume that N is not 2-absorbing primary. By Theorem ??, we know $(N :_R M)^2N \subseteq \phi(N)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (N :_R M)^3 &= (N :_R M)^2(N :_R M) \\ &\subseteq ((N :_R M)^2N :_R M) \\ &\subseteq (\phi(N) :_R M), \end{aligned}$$

and so $(N :_R M) \subseteq \sqrt{(\phi(N) :_R M)}$. Hence, we have $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = \sqrt{(\phi(N) :_R M)}$.

(2) It is clera from part (1). \square

2.30. Corollary. *Let N be a weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . If N is not 2-absorbing primary, then*

- (1) $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M)}$.

- (2) If M is multiplication, then $M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(\{0\})$. Furthermore, if M is faithful, then $M\text{-rad}(N) = \text{Nil}(M)$.

2.31. Corollary. *Let M be a finitely generated multiplication R -module and suppose that $M\text{-rad}(\phi(N))$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M . If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M .*

Proof. Assume that N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . If N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M , by [?, Theorem 2.6]. If N is not a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then by Theorem ??(2) and by our hypothesis, $M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N))$ which is a 2-absorbing submodule. \square

2.32. Theorem. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. Suppose that N is a ϕ -primary submodule of M that is not primary, and K is a submodule of M such that $K \subseteq N$ with $\phi(N) \subseteq \phi(K)$. Then K is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Since N is a ϕ -primary submodule that is not primary we have $M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N))$ by Corollary ??(2). Hence $M\text{-rad}(K) = M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N))$ since $\phi(N) \subseteq \phi(K)$. Let $abm \in K \setminus \phi(K)$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $ab \notin (K :_R M)$. Since $K \subseteq N$ and $\phi(N) \subseteq \phi(K)$, we have $abm \in N \setminus \phi(N)$. Consider two cases.

Case 1. Assume that $bm \notin N$. Since N is ϕ -primary, then $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$. Hence $am \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M = M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(K)$.

Case 2. Assume that $bm \in N$. Since $abm \notin \phi(N)$, we have that $bm \in N \setminus \phi(N)$. On the other hand N is a ϕ -primary submodule, so either $m \in N$ or $b \in \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$. By any of these two possibilities we have $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(K)$. Consequently, N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . \square

2.33. Theorem. *Let $\{N_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of submodules of M such that for every $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$, $M\text{-rad}(\phi(N_\lambda)) = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N_{\lambda'}))$ and $\phi(N_\lambda) \subseteq \phi(N)$. If for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, N_λ is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M that is not 2-absorbing primary, then $N = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$ is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Since N_λ 's are ϕ -2-absorbing primary but are not 2-absorbing primary, then for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $M\text{-rad}(N_\lambda) = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N_\lambda))$, by Theorem ??(2). On the other hand $\phi(N_\lambda) \subseteq \phi(N)$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and so $M\text{-rad}(\phi(N_\lambda)) \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Hence $M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(N_\lambda) = M\text{-rad}(\phi(N_\lambda))$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $abm \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ for some $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$, and let $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Therefore there is a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $ab \notin (N_\lambda :_R M)$. Since N_λ is ϕ -2-absorbing primary and $abm \in N_\lambda \setminus \phi(N_\lambda)$, then $am \in M\text{-rad}(N_\lambda) = M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N_\lambda) = M\text{-rad}(N)$. Consequently, N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . \square

2.34. Proposition. *Let N be a submodule of M and $\phi(N)$ be a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . Assume that $abm \in N$ for some elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$. If $abm \in \phi(N)$, then we conclude that $am \in M\text{-rad}(\phi(N)) \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(\phi(N)) \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or

$ab \in (\phi(N) :_R M) \subseteq (N :_R M)$ since $\phi(N)$ is 2-absorbing primary, and so we are done. If $abm \notin \phi(N)$, then clearly the result follows. \square

2.35. Definition. Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M and suppose that $IJK \subseteq N$ for some ideals I, J of R and any submodule K of M . We call N as a free ϕ -triple-zero with respect to IJK if (a, b, k) is not a ϕ -triple-zero of N for every $a \in I, b \in J$ and $k \in K$.

2.36. Lemma. Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M and suppose that $abK \subseteq N$, for some $a, b \in R$ and any submodule K of M . Suppose that (a, b, k) is not a ϕ -triple-zero of N for every $k \in K$. If $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, then $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$.

Proof. Suppose that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Assume that $aK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $bK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Then there are $k_1, k_2 \in K$ such that $ak_1 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $bk_2 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$. If $abk_1 \notin \phi(N)$, then we have $bk_1 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ as $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ and N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . If $abk_1 \in \phi(N)$, then since $abk_1 \in N$, $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, $ak_1 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$ and (a, b, k_1) is not a ϕ -triple-zero of N , we conclude again $bk_1 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. By the similar argument, if $abk_2 \notin \phi(N)$, then we get $ak_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ as N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . Also if $abk_2 \in \phi(N)$, since $abk_2 \in N$, $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, $bk_2 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$ and (a, b, k_2) is not a ϕ -triple-zero of N , we have $ak_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. From our hypothesis, $(a, b, k_1 + k_2)$ is not a ϕ -triple-zero of N and $ab(k_1 + k_2) \in N$ and $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Hence we have either $a(k_1 + k_2) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $b(k_1 + k_2) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. If $a(k_1 + k_2) = ak_1 + ak_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, then since $ak_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $ak_1 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, a contradiction. If $b(k_1 + k_2) = bk_1 + bk_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, then since $bk_1 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $bk_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, a contradiction again. Thus $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. \square

2.37. Remark. Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M and suppose that $IJK \subseteq N$ for some ideals I, J of R and any submodule K of M such that N is a free ϕ -triple-zero with respect to IJK . Then if $a \in I, b \in J$ and $k \in K$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $ak \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bk \in M\text{-rad}(N)$.

2.38. Theorem. Let N be a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M and suppose that $IJK \subseteq N$, $IJK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some ideals I, J of R , any submodule K of M such that N is a free ϕ -triple-zero with respect to IJK . Then $IJ \subseteq (N :_R M)$ or $IK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $JK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$.

Proof. Suppose that N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M and $IJK \subseteq N$, $IJK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some ideals I, J of R and any submodule K of M such that N is a free ϕ -triple-zero with respect to IJK . Suppose that $IJ \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$. We show that $IK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $JK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$.

On the contrary, assume that $IK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $JK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Then there are $a_1 \in I$ and $b_1 \in J$ with $a_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $b_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $a_1b_1K \subseteq N$, $a_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $b_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma ???. Recall that our assumption is $IJ \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$. Hence there are $a \in I, b \in J$ such that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Since $abK \subseteq N$ and $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, we have $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ by Lemma ???. In here there are three cases.

2.39. Case. Suppose that $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, but $bK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $a_1bK \subseteq N$, $bK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $a_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, then $a_1b \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma ???. Since $(a + a_1)bK \subseteq N$ and $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, but $a_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we get $(a + a_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $bK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $(a + a_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $(a + a_1)b \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma ???. Since $(a + a_1)b = ab + a_1b \in (N :_R M)$ and $a_1b \in (N :_R M)$, we conclude that $ab \in (N :_R M)$, a contradiction.

2.40. Case. Suppose that $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, but $aK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $ab_1K \subseteq N$, $aK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $b_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we deduce that $ab_1 \in (N :_R M)$. Since $a(b + b_1)K \subseteq N$ and $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, but $b_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $(b + b_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $aK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $(b + b_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we get $a(b + b_1) \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma ???. Since $a(b + b_1) = ab + ab_1 \in (N :_R M)$ and $ab_1 \in (N :_R M)$, we get $ab \in (N :_R M)$, a contradiction.

2.41. Case. Suppose that $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Hence $(b + b_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ as $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $b_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $a_1(b + b_1)K \subseteq N$ and neither $a_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ nor $(b + b_1)K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we obtain that $a_1(b + b_1) = a_1b + a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma ???. Since $a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$ and $a_1b + a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$, we have $ba_1 \in (N :_R M)$. Since $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $a_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we deduce that $(a + a_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $(a + a_1)b_1K \subseteq N$, $b_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, $(a + a_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we get $(a + a_1)b_1 = ab_1 + a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma ???. Since $a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$ and $ab_1 + a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$, we conclude that $ab_1 \in (N :_R M)$. Now, since $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)K \subseteq N$ and neither $(a + a_1)K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ nor $(b + b_1)K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, it follows $(a + a_1)(b + b_1) = ab + ab_1 + ba_1 + a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma ???. Since $ab_1, ba_1, a_1b_1 \in (N :_R M)$, we get $ab \in (N :_R M)$, a contradiction. Thus $IK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $JK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. \square

2.42. Theorem. *Let N be a submodule of M with $\phi(M\text{-rad}(N)) \subseteq \phi(N)$. If $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a ϕ -prime submodule of M , then N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Suppose that $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a ϕ -prime submodule of M . Let $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ be such that $abm \in N \setminus \phi(N)$, $am \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is ϕ -prime submodule and $abm \in M\text{-rad}(N) \setminus \phi(M\text{-rad}(N))$, then $b \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R M)$. So $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Consequently, N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . \square

In [?], Quartararo et al. said that a commutative ring R is a u -ring provided R has the property that an ideal contained in a finite union of ideals must be contained in one of those ideals; and a um -ring is a ring R with the property that an R -module which is equal to a finite union of submodules must be equal to one of them.

2.43. Lemma. *A ring R is a um -ring if and only if $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i$, where M_i 's are some R -modules, implies that $M \subseteq M_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) It is clear.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that R is a um -ring. Let $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i$ for some R -modules M_1, M_2, \dots

, M_n . Then $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (M_i \cap M)$ and so $M = M_i \cap M$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore $M \subseteq M_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. \square

2.44. Theorem. *Let R be a um-ring, M be an R -module and N be a proper submodule of M . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M .
- (2) If $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ for some $a, b \in R$, then

$$(N :_M ab) = (N :_M a) \cup (N :_M b) \cup (\phi(N) :_M ab).$$
- (3) If $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ for some $a, b \in R$, then $(N :_M ab) = (N :_M a)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (N :_M b)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (\phi(N) :_M ab)$.
- (4) If $abK \subseteq N$ and $abK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some $a, b \in R$ and any submodule K of M , then either $aK \subseteq N$ or $bK \subseteq N$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.
- (5) If $aK \not\subseteq N$ for some $a \in R$ and some submodule K of M , then $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R K)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R aM)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (\phi(N) :_R aK)$.
- (6) If $aIK \subseteq N$ and $aIK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some $a \in R$, any ideal I of R and any submodule K of M , then either $aK \subseteq N$ or $IK \subseteq N$ or $aI \subseteq (N :_R M)$.
- (7) If $IK \not\subseteq N$ for any ideal I of R and any submodule K of M , then $(N :_R IK) = (N :_R K)$ or $(N :_R IK) = (N :_R IM)$ or $(N :_R IK) = (\phi(N) :_R IK)$.
- (8) If $IJK \subseteq N$ and $IJK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some ideals I, J of R and any submodule K of M , then either $IK \subseteq N$ or $JK \subseteq N$ or $IJ \subseteq (N :_R M)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Take $m \in (N :_M ab)$. If $abm \in \phi(N)$, then $m \in (\phi(N) :_M ab)$. If $abm \notin \phi(N)$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ since N is a ϕ -2-absorbing submodule of M . Thus we have $m \in (N :_M a)$ or $m \in (N :_M b)$. Consequently, $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (N :_M a) \cup (N :_M b) \cup (\phi(N) :_M ab)$. On the other hand $(N :_R a) \subseteq (N :_M ab)$, $(N :_M b) \subseteq (N :_M ab)$ and $(\phi(N) :_M ab) \subseteq (N :_M ab)$ are always hold, so we conclude that $(N :_M ab) = (N :_M a) \cup (N :_M b) \cup (\phi(N) :_M ab)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ for some $a, b \in R$. By part (2), we have $(N :_M ab) = (N :_M a) \cup (N :_M b) \cup (\phi(N) :_M ab)$. Since R is a um-ring, then either $(N :_M ab) = (N :_M a)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (N :_M b)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (\phi(N) :_M ab)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Suppose that $abK \subseteq N$ and $abK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some $a, b \in R$ and any submodule K of M . Then $K \subseteq (N :_M ab)$. Assume that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Then by part (3), we have $(N :_M ab) = (N :_M a)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (N :_M b)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (\phi(N) :_M ab)$. Hence $K \subseteq (N :_M a)$ or $K \subseteq (N :_M b)$ or $K \subseteq (\phi(N) :_M ab)$. In the first case, we have $aK \subseteq N$, and in the second case, we have $bK \subseteq N$. Notice that the third case can not hold as $abK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Let $aK \not\subseteq N$ for some $a \in R$ and some submodule K of M . Assume that $x \in (N :_R aK)$. Then $axK \subseteq N$. If $axK \subseteq \phi(N)$, then $x \in (\phi(N) :_R aK)$. We may assume that $axK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$. Then by part (4), we conclude that either $aK \subseteq N$ or $xK \subseteq N$ or $ax \in (N :_R M)$. By assumption, the first case can not happen. Therefore $x \in (N :_R K)$ or $x \in (N :_R aM)$. So $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R K) \cup (N :_R aM) \cup (\phi(N) :_R aK)$. Now, since R is a um-ring, then $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R K)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R aM)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (\phi(N) :_R aK)$.

- (5) \Rightarrow (6) Let $aIK \subseteq N$ and $aIK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some $a \in R$, any ideal I of R and any submodule K of M . Then $I \subseteq (N :_R aK)$. If $aK \subseteq N$, then we are done. Let $aK \not\subseteq N$. By part (5), $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R K)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R aM)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (\phi(N) :_R aK)$. Since $aIK \subseteq N \setminus \phi(N)$, then $(N :_R aK) \neq (\phi(N) :_R aK)$. If $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R K)$, then $IK \subseteq N$. If $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R aM)$, then $aI \subseteq (N :_R M)$.
- (6) \Rightarrow (7), (7) \Rightarrow (8) have similar proof to that of the previous implications.
- (8) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial. \square

2.45. Theorem. *Let R be a um-ring, N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M .
- (2) If $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ for some $a, b \in R$, then

$$(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a) \cup (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b) \cup (\phi(N) :_M ab).$$
- (3) If $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ for some $a, b \in R$, then $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a)$ or $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (\phi(N) :_M ab)$.
- (4) If $abK \subseteq N$ and $abK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some $a, b \in R$ and any submodule K of M , then either $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.
- (5) If $aK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ for some $a \in R$ and any submodule K of M , then $(N :_R aK) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R K)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R aM)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (\phi(N) :_R aK)$.
- (6) If $aIK \subseteq N$ and $aIK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some $a \in R$, any ideal I of R and any submodule K of M , then either $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $IK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $aI \subseteq (N :_R M)$.
- (7) If $IK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ for any ideal I of R and any submodule K of M , then $(N :_R IK) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R K)$ or $(N :_R IK) = (N :_R IM)$ or $(N :_R IK) = (\phi(N) :_R IK)$.
- (8) If $IJK \subseteq N$ and $IJK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some ideals I, J of R and any submodule K of M , then either $IK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $JK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $IJ \subseteq (N :_R M)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ and take $m \in (N :_M ab)$. Then $abm \in N$. If $abm \notin \phi(N)$, then $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ as N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . Therefore $m \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a)$ or $m \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$. If $abm \in \phi(N)$, then $m \in (\phi(N) :_M ab)$. Thus $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a) \cup (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b) \cup (\phi(N) :_M ab)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ for some $a, b \in R$. Hence we have $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a) \cup (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b) \cup (\phi(N) :_M ab)$ by part (2). Since R is a um-ring, and $(\phi(N) :_M ab) \subseteq (N :_M ab)$ is always satisfied, we conclude that either $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a)$ or $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (\phi(N) :_M ab)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Assume that $abK \subseteq N$ and $abK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some $a, b \in R$ and any submodule K of M . Then $K \subseteq (N :_M ab)$. Suppose that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Then by (3) we have $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a)$ or $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$ or $(N :_M ab) = (\phi(N) :_M ab)$. Thus $K \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a)$ or $K \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$ or $K \subseteq (\phi(N) :_M ab)$. The first case implies that $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$,

and in the second case, we have $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. The third case can not hold, because $abK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Suppose that $aK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ for some $a \in R$ and any submodule K of M . Assume that $b \in (N :_R aK)$. Then $abK \subseteq N$. If $abK \subseteq \phi(N)$, then $b \in (\phi(N) :_R aK)$. We may assume that $abK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$. Then by (4), either $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$. By assumption, the first case can not happen. Therefore $b \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R K)$ or $b \in (N :_R aM)$. So $(N :_R aK) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R K) \cup (N :_R aM) \cup (\phi(N) :_R aK)$. Now, since R is a u -ring, then we conclude that $(N :_R aK) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R K)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R aM)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (\phi(N) :_R aK)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) Let $aIK \subseteq N$ and $aIK \not\subseteq \phi(N)$ for some $a \in R$, any ideal I of R and any submodule K of M . Then $I \subseteq (N :_R aK)$. If $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, then we are done. Let $aK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. By part (5), $(N :_R aK) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R K)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R aM)$ or $(N :_R aK) = (\phi(N) :_R aK)$. Since $aIK \subseteq N \setminus \phi(N)$, then $(N :_R aK) \neq (\phi(N) :_R aK)$. If $(N :_R aK) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R K)$, then $IK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. If $(N :_R aK) = (N :_R aM)$, then $aI \subseteq (N :_R M)$.

The proofs of (6) \Rightarrow (7), (7) \Rightarrow (8) are similar to the previous implications.

(8) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious. \square

2.46. Theorem. *Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . If N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then the following statements hold:*

- (1) If $abm \notin N$ for $a, b \in R, m \in M$, then $(N :_R abm) = (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R am) \cup (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R bm) \cup (\phi(N) :_R abm)$.
- (2) Let R be a u -ring. If $abm \notin N$ for $a, b \in R, m \in M$, then $(N :_R abm) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R am)$ or $(N :_R abm) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R bm)$ or $(N :_R abm) = (\phi(N) :_R abm)$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $abm \notin N$ for some $a, b \in R, m \in M$. Take $r \in (N :_R abm)$. Then $rabm \in N$. If $rabm \in \phi(N)$, then $r \in (\phi(N) :_R abm)$. So assume that $rabm \notin \phi(N)$. Hence we conclude either $ram \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $rbm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$ as N is a ϕ -2-absorbing primary submodule of M . But $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ since $abm \notin N$. So $r \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R am)$ or $r \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R bm)$. Thus $(N :_R abm) = (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R am) \cup (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R bm) \cup (\phi(N) :_R abm)$.

(2) Suppose that R is a u -ring. Then the result is obtained from part (1). \square

References

- [1] Ali, M.M. *Idempotent and nilpotent submodules of multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra, **36**, 4620-4642, 2008.
- [2] Ameri, R. *On the prime submodules of multiplication modules*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **27**, 1715-1724, 2003.
- [3] Anderson D.D. and Batanich, M. *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, **36**, 686-696, 2008.
- [4] Anderson D.D. and Smith, E. *Weakly prime ideals*, Houston J. Math., **29**, 831-840, 2003.
- [5] Anderson D.F. and Badawi, A. *On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, **39**, 1646-1672, 2011.
- [6] Ansari-Toroghy H. and Farshadifar F. *Fully idempotent and coideal submodules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., **38** (4), 987-1005, 2012.
- [7] Atani, S.E. Callialp, F. and Tekir, U. *A Short note on the primary submodules of multiplication modules*, Int. J. Algebra, **8** (1), 381-384, 2007.

- [8] Atani, S.E. and Farzalipour, F. *On weakly prime submodules*, Tamk. J. Math., **38** (3), 247-252, 2007.
- [9] Badawi A. *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **75**, 417-429, 2007.
- [10] Badawi A. and Darani, A.Y. *On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Houston J. Math., **39**, 441-452, 2013.
- [11] Badawi A., Tekir U. and Yetkin, E. *On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **51** (4), 1163-1173, 2014
- [12] Badawi, A. Tekir, U. Ugurlu, E. A, Ulucak, G. and Yetkin Celikel, E. *Generalizations of 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings*, Turkish J. Math. **40**, 703-717, 2016.
- [13] Barnard, A. *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra, **71**, 174-178, 1981.
- [14] Batanieh, M. and Dofa, K. *Generalizations of primary ideals and submodules*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 6, 811-824, 2011.
- [15] Behboodi, M. and Koohi, H. *Weakly prime modules*, Vietnam J. Math., **32** (2), 185-195, 2004.
- [16] Darani, A.Y. *Generalizations of primary ideals in commutative rings*, Novi Sad J. Math., **42**, 27-35, 2012.
- [17] Darani A.Y. and Soheilnia, F. *On 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules*, Thai J. Math., **9**, 577-584, 2011.
- [18] Darani A.Y. and Soheilnia, F. *On n-absorbing submodules*, Math. Comm., **17**, 547-557, 2012.
- [19] Ebrahimpour, M. and Nekooei, R. *On generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, **40** 1268-1279, 2012.
- [20] El-Bast Z.A. and Smith, P.F. *Multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra, **16**, 755-779, 1988.
- [21] Khaksari A. and Jafari A. *ϕ -prime submodules*, Int. J. Algebra, **5** (29), 1443-1449, 2011.
- [22] McCasland R.L. and Moore, M.E. *Radicals of submodules*, Comm. Algebra, **19**, 1327-1341, 1991.
- [23] Mostafanasab, H., Yetkin, E., Tekir U. and Darani, A.Y. *On 2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings*, An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, **24**(1), 335-351, 2016.
- [24] Quartararo P. and Butts, H. S. *Finite unions of ideals and modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52**, 91-96, 1975.
- [25] Smith, P.F. *Some remarks on multiplication modules*, Arch. Math., **50**, 223-235, 1988.
- [26] Zamani, N. *ϕ -prime submodules*, Glasgow Math. J., **52** (2), 253-259, 2010.